

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION Brussels, 1 December 2005

15101/05 ADD 1

LIMITE

COPEN 191 TELECOM 141

### ADDENDUM TO NOTE

from :	Presidency
to :	COREPER/JHA Council
No. prev. doc. :	14935/05 COPEN 187 TELECOM 139
No. Cion prop. :	12671/05 COPEN 150 TELECOM 96 CODEC 803
Subject :	Data retention

# List of outstanding reservations on the text of the draft Directive on data retention as proposed by the Presidency and as set out in doc. 15101/05 COPEN 191 TELECOM 141 + COR 1.

## I. GENERAL RESERVATIONS

General reservations linked to the legal basis of the instrument by IE/SI/SK/MT/LT.

General reservation on the text by <u>COM</u>; the College will need to decide on a revision of the current

COM position which is reflected in the COM proposal for the Directive.

General scrutiny reservation on the whole text by IT.

General Parliamentary scrutiny reservation by <u>DE/UK</u>.

## II. TITLE / RECITALS

- Title <u>SE</u> proposes to add "generated or" processed... in the title of the draft Directive.
- (11) Proposal by <u>SE</u> to add "generated or" processed...
- (12bis) Reservation by <u>PT/COM</u>.

New Recital proposed by FIN and modified by SE: Reservation by <u>IT</u>. Scrutiny reservations by several delegations (inter alia <u>FR/BE/DK/SK/COM</u>).

(15bis), (16ter), (17bis): Scrutiny reservation by FR.

- (A) Reservation by <u>SE</u>; SE thinks that (A) should be replaced by the Finnish proposal for a recital, as modified by SE.
- (20) Reservation by <u>COM</u>.

 $\underline{DE}$  wants a recital according to which Member States have the possibility to reimburse service providers; DE thinks that the COM declaration is not sufficiently to ensure that.

### III. OPERATIVE TEXT

Article 1(1)Reservations by <u>GR/PT</u> on deletion of "serious" criminal offences.
Reservation by <u>IT</u> on the deletion of "prevention".
Reservation by <u>COM</u>.
Reservation by <u>FI</u> (FI wants to replace "generated OR processed" by "generated AND processed") in case their proposal for a recital (as amended by SE) is not taken on board.

Article 2(2) point (e) Reservation by <u>DE</u> on "or in which it terminated"; DE thinks this wording should be deleted in accordance with the deletion of location data at the end of a communication.

point (f) Reservation on  $\underline{DE}$  on "unsuccessful call attempt"; DE can accept point f) only on condition that the obligation to delete data on unsuccessful call attempts is deleted from Article 3(2). Scrutiny reservation by  $\underline{DK}$ .

- Article 3(1) Reservation by <u>FI</u> (FI wants to replace "generated OR processed" by "generated AND processed") in case their proposal for a recital (as amended by SE) is not taken on board.
- Article 3(2) Reservations by <u>CZ/EE/DE/LV/AT/NL/DE</u> on the inclusion of data on unsuccessful call attempts.

Article 4(1) Reservation by HU on the inclusion of the list of data in the body of the text; HU wants the list of data to be annexed to the Directive. Reservation by FI (on data relating to Internet access, Internet telephony and Internet e-mail) in case their proposal for a recital (as amended by SE) is not taken on board. Reservation by DE on paragraph 1(b)(3)(a) and (b) in relation to "intended" recipient(s); DE thinks that the reference to "intended" should be deleted since it covers unsuccessful call attempts. Reservation by DE on paragraph 1(e)(2)(b) and (d) in relation to "IMSI"; DE thinks that these data should be deleted. Reservation by NL on paragraph 1(e)(2)(f); NL wants to delete point (f) on activation of pre-paid cards. Reservation by SE on the deletion of point (e)(3)(c) in paragraph 1 relating to "MAC address". Reservation by IT/SE/HU on paragraph 1(f) relating to the deletion of location data at the end of the communication. SE wants to introduce the following new point (c)(2)(c) in paragraph 1: "The date and time of the start and end of the communication."

Article 4(2) Reservation by <u>SE</u>; SE thinks the new paragraph 2 duplicates Article 1(2) second sentence.

- Article 5 Reservation by <u>HU</u> on the deletion of the Article.
- Article 6 Reservation by <u>HU</u> on the deletion of the Article.
- Article 7 Reservations by <u>GR</u> (maximum retention period should be 1 year instead of 2 years), by <u>IE/IT</u> (maximum retention period of 2 years is too low IE proposes a maximum retention period of 3 years), by <u>SI/LU</u> (minimum retention period of 6 months is too low <u>SI</u> proposes a minimum retention period of 1 year). Reservation by <u>COM</u>.
  Reservation by <u>HU</u>; HU wants to copy Article 4 of the draft FD. HU has indicated that it is willing to revise its position should it not be supported by any other delegation.
  Reservation by <u>FI</u> in case their proposal for a recital (as amended by SE) is not taken on board.
- Article 7bis Scrutiny reservation by <u>FR/HU</u>.
- Article 8bis Scrutiny reservation by <u>FR</u>.
- Article 9 Reservation by <u>FR/DK/DE</u>. <u>DE</u> wants to limit the obligation to provide statistics to the period before the review (Article 12) is undertaken.
   Scrutiny reservation by <u>EE</u>;
   <u>EE/SE</u> want to include in the first indent a reference to "the number of" cases in which information has been provided.....

Article 10 Reservations by <u>GR/PT</u> (costs should be regulated at EU level to avoid distortions of competition) and by <u>CZ</u> (draft Directive should contain a provision on sharing of costs).
 Reservation by <u>COM</u> on the deletion of Article 10.

Article 11 Reservation by <u>COM</u>.

Article XReservation by COM.Scrutiny reservations by SK/FR.

Article 11bis Scrutiny reservation by <u>FR/HU</u>.

Article 13 Reservation by <u>NL/SE</u> who think that the implementation period should be 24 months instead of 18 months.
Reservation by <u>FI</u> in case their proposal for a recital (as amended by SE) is not taken on board.
Reservation by <u>COM</u> on the deletion of the reference to the correlation table.

Proposed declaration to be made by COM:

Reservation by <u>COM</u>; COM would be willing to make a declaration, the current drafting, however, is not supported. The declaration as such will be subject to final authorisation and appreciation of the full "package" by the College.