

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION Brussels, 4 October 2010

13049/1/10 REV 1

COPEN 170 EJN 32 EUROJUST 81 CODEC 754

## NOTE

from:	Presidency		
to:	Working Party on Cooperation in Criminal Matters		
No. prev. doc.:	12212/10 COPEN 159 EUROJUST 70 EJN 26 CODEC 692		
Subject:	<ul> <li>Initiative of the Kingdom of Belgium, the Republic of Bulgaria, the Republic of Estonia, the Kingdom of Spain, the Republic of Austria, the Republic of Slovenia and the Kingdom of Sweden for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council regarding the European Investigation Order in criminal matters</li> <li>Answers to the questionnaire related to issuing authorities in application of the initiative for a Council Framework Decision on the European Investigation Order</li> </ul>		

Delegations will find in Annex the answers to the questionnaire related to issuing authorities in application of the initiative for a Council Framework Decision on the European Investigation Order (doc. 12212/10).

## Questions

- Please indicate whether, in accordance with national law of your Member State, an authority, other than an judge, a court, an investigating magistrate or a public prosecutor, could be defined as a judicial authority in accordance with Article 2(a)(ii) of the proposal for a Directive.
- 2. If the answer to the first question is YES, please specify the kind of authorities concerned.
- 3. If the answer to the first question is YES, please indicate whether such an authority is competent under the national law of your Member State to order all kind of investigative measures or only specific ones, and for all types of offences or only specific ones, specifying them if need be.

Member State	Question 1	Question 2	Question 3
AT	Yes	<ul> <li>The answer to this question depends to a large extent on the types of procedures for which an EIO can be issued. If Art. 4 (b) is retained all administrative authorities competent for administrative penalties would have to be defined as judicial authorities.</li> <li>Administrative authorites competent for administrative penalties include the local district authorities (Bezirksverwaltungsbehörden) and the administrative authorities of the 15 self- governing townships.</li> <li>Other authorities competent for such proceedings are the 14 federal police offices (Bundespolizeidirektion), the Tax authorities (Finazstrafbehörden), the Financial Market Authority (Finanzmarktaufsichtsbehörde) or the Agricultural District Authorities (Agrarbezirksbehörde) and a large number of other, highly specialized administrative authorities.</li> </ul>	Administrative authorities can apply only a limited number of investigative measures. The hearing of witnesses and accused persons is always possible. The search of premises or persons is allowed only in a few exceptional cases e.g. according to the Law on Epidemics (Epidemiegesetz 1950), the Law on Ammunitions and Explosive Materials (Schieß- und Sprengmittelgesetz) or the Law on Telekommunikations (Telekommunikationsgesetz 2003). Access to bank information is permitted only for the Tax Authorities in relation to violations of tax laws committed intentionally and in certain cases for the Financial Market Authority. Interception of telecommunications, controlled deliveries or observations are never allowed in these proceedings.
BE	No	N/a	N/a
BG			
CZ	No	N/a	N/a
CY			

In case another authority listed under Article 2) a) ii) in another Member State be the competent issuing authority for a EIO where investigative acts that touch upon fundamental rights are concerned, validation/confirmation is required from one of the judicial authorities in Article 2 a) i) in accordance with the provisions of Council Framework Decision 2008/978/JHA of 18 December 2008 on the European evidence warrant for the purpose of obtaining objects, documents and data for use in proceedings in criminal matters.

DK	In general the	/	/
	following authorities		
	are regarded as judicial		
	authorities according to		
	Danish law: the		
	Prosecution Service		
	(Director of Public		
	Prosecutions, the		
	Public Prosecutors and		
	the Chiefs of Police)		
	and the Ministry of		
	justice.		
	In Denmark crimes are		
	investigated by the		
	police. This		
	investigation is subject		
	to the legality control		
	of the Prosecution		
	Service which is		
	composed of the		
	Director of Public		
	Prosecutions, the		
	Public Prosecutors and		
	Chiefs of Police. Some		
	investigative measures		
	have to be approved by		
	the courts at the request		
	of the Prosecution		
	Service		
EE	No	N/a	N/a
EL	No	N/a	N/a
ES	No	N/a	N/a

FI	Yes	For the purposes of the 1959	According to the Finnish system
		MLA Convention Finland has	the head of investigation in most
	As to the	declared that the following are	cases is not a prosecutor, and
	draft	deemed to be judicial authorities	never a judge. Prosecutors and
	Directive,	in Finland:	investigation authorities belong to
	Finnish		different organisations and
	preliminary	- The Ministry of Justice,	approval of prosecutor is not
	investigation	- The Courts of First Instance,	needed to each request for
	authorities	the Courts of Appeal and the	evidence needed during the
	could be	Supreme Court,	criminal investigation. We do not
	regarded as	- The public prosecutors,	have a system of investigative
	judicial	- The police authorities, the	magistrates.
	authorities	customs authorities as well as	
	in	the frontier guard officers in	According the Pre-trial
	accordance	their capacity of preliminary	Investigation Act (449/1987) a
	with Article	criminal investigation	criminal investigation in most
	2(a)(ii).	authorities in criminal	cases is led by police authorities
		proceedings.	and in certain cases by customs or
			frontier authorities (with respect
		This declaration has been given in	of crimes falling within their
		1994 and since the 2000 EU MLA	competences). However, apart
		Convention supplements the 1959	from certain minor offences, a
		Convention and it has no other	public prosecutor leads
		specific provisions on competent	investigation, if the suspected
		authorities, it is valid also for the	person is a policeman. The pre-
		purposes of the 2000 MLA	trial investigation case is led by
		Convention.	the head of investigation. Within
			the said authorities, the head of
		Finland has implemented the	the pre-trial investigation is
		Council Framework Decision on	official who is competent to
		European Evidence Warrant by	decide on arrest. In most cases the
		legislation which will come into	head of investigation is a police,
		force on 19 January 2011.	customs and frontier authority;
			he/she is a senior/superior civil
		According to the Implementing	servant. In some cases, especially
		Act the following authorities are	when serious economic or
		entitled to issue an evidence	organised crimes are being
		warrant in Finland.	investigated, the head of
			investigation has a degree in law.
		- the public prosecutors,	For a special reason, a criminal
		- the Courts of First Instance	police sergeant or a police
		- the Courts of Appeal	sergeant may act as the head of
		- the Supreme Court	investigation (but these officials
		- if the evidence sought is in the	are not entitled to decide on
		possession of the executing	coercive measures) in a case
		authority and the Member	being investigated by the police,
		State in question has not	and an official specifically
		required a validation by a	entitled to the same by an Act
		court, a judge, or a public	may act as the head of

progagutar on avidance	investigation in a case
prosecutor, an evidence warrant may be issues by an	investigation in a case
official who within the police	investigated by an authority other than the police.
authorities, customs	
authorities or frontier	As to whether these authorities
authorities is competent to act	are entitled to order specific
as the head of investigation.	investigative measures, depends
	on the measure in question.
	Within the said authorities, an
	official who is competent to decide on arrest, is entitled to
	order e.g. a house search, a
	seizure of an object or document,
	a bodily search (a physical
	examination only by a doctor or
	medical personnel), an order to
	take identification marks or an
	arrest. A high ranking police and customs officer or Border Guard
	Headquarters is competent to
	decide on a controlled delivery.
	The Chief of the National Bureau
	of Investigation and Security
	Police are competent to decide on
	undercover operations. On the
	other hand, when e.g. interception
	of telecommunication or obtaining of call related data,
	interception (other than
	telecommunication) is concerned,
	it is ordered by the Court upon an
	application of the head of
	investigation.
	The public prosecutors have also
	competences during the
	preliminary investigation phase.
	They may request the police to
	carry out a criminal investigation
	or further investigation, as well as
	comply with the instructions
	issues by the prosecutor for the
	securing the objectives of the criminal investigation. In general,
	preliminary criminal investigation
	authorities and public prosecutors
	have a duty to act in cooperation
	when crimes are being
	investigated. A public prosecutor
	is also an official, who is
	competent to decide on arrest.

			Thus, a public prosecutor is competent to decide on such coercive measures, which require a decision by such an official (described above).
FR	No	N/a	N/a
HU	No	N/a	N/a
IE	No	The Central Authority for Mutual Legal Assistance (located within the Department of Justice) transmits requests for assistance on behalf of the prosecuting authorities. The Central Authority is not a judicial authority.The Central Authority is responsible for the recognition of incoming requests. Neither prosecutorial nor judicial authorities have any function in relation to recognition of incoming requests. Requests are 	N/a
IT	No	N/a	N/a
LT	No	N/a	N/a
LU	No	N/a	N/a

LV	Yes	The police authorities as being	The investigator individually in
		investigators of an offence may	the framework of his competence
		decide to use investigative	could not decide to perform all
		measures.	investigative measures, as in
			certain cases the decision of
		The authorisation to perform	investigating judge or court, or
		criminal proceedings on behalf of	approval of a prosecutor is
		the State is held only by officials	required. It should be noted that
		of the institutions specified in the	competence of an investigator and
		Criminal Procedure Law (CPL). A	a prosecutor to perform
		person directing the proceedings	investigative measures in general
		is:	concur.
		1) an investigator or in	Latvian criminal law system does
		exceptional cases a public	not divide competence of an
		prosecutor – in an investigation;	investigator, prosecutor or court
		2) a public prosecutor – in a	with regards to the types of
		criminal prosecution;	offences.
		3) a judge who leads the	We would like to indicate several
		adjudication – in preparing a case	examples of the division of
		for trial;	competences:
		4) the composition of a court –	An investigator himself may:
		during a trial;	interrogate <sup>1</sup> ; question <sup>2</sup> ; confront <sup>3</sup> ;
		5) a judge – after coming into	inspect <sup>4</sup> ; examine a person <sup>5</sup> ;
		effect of a judgment.	seize <sup>6</sup> ; make_on-site examination
			of testimony <sup>7</sup> ; present for identification <sup>8</sup> ; order to store the
		Additionally, it should be	
		mentioned, that investigator is an	date located in an electronic
		official of an investigative	information system <sup>9</sup> ; order to
		institution who is authorised with	make expert-examination.
		an order of the head of the	Investigating judge or court take a
		investigative institution to perform	decision after the initiation of an
		an investigation in criminal	investigator on these investigating
		proceedings.	measures: search <sup>10</sup> ; disclose the
		An investigator has a duty:	data stored in an electronic
		1) to examine information, which	information system <sup>11</sup> ; provide
		indicate the possible commitment	information or documents from

- <sup>1</sup> KPL 145.pants
- <sup>2</sup> KPL 155.pants
- <sup>3</sup> KPL 157.pants
- <sup>4</sup> KPL 159.pants
- 5 KPL 168.pants
- <sup>6</sup> KPL 186.pants
- <sup>7</sup> KPL 173.pants
- 8 KPL 175.pants
- <sup>9</sup> KPL 191.pants
- <sup>10</sup> KPL 179.pants
- <sup>11</sup> KPL 191.pants

- 1
- KPL 121.pants KPL 11.nodaļa KPL 192.pants KPL 121.pants 2
- 3
- 4

MT		direct supervisor thereof; 4) to appeal the decisions and instructions of the supervising public prosecutor; 5) to appeal the instructions of a higher-ranking public prosecutor; 6) to appeal the decision of an investigating judge.	
NL	No	N/a	N/a
PL	No	N/a	N/a
РТ	No	N/a	N/a
RO	No	N/a	N/a
SE	Yes	<ul> <li>a) The Swedish National Police Board and 21 regional Police Authorities in Sweden.</li> <li>b) The Swedish Customs Administration.</li> <li>c) The Swedish Coast Guard.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) A preliminary investigation is generally lead by the Police if it concerns a simple matter or as long as there is no person found that can be reasonably suspected of having committed the offence. Preliminary investigations regarding certain types of crime are however always led by a prosecutor. There are detailed instructions on when a police inspector or a prosecutor should lead the investigations. Judges do not lead preliminary investigations, but judges may become involved when a prosecutor needs to seek approval for certain intrusive investigative measures such as interception of telecommunications.</li> <li>Within the framework of a police- led preliminary investigation police officers can carry out a number of investigative measures without a decision of a prosecutor or a judge. Some of the investigative measures are then available to the police officers, for example provisional confiscation, seizure, search of premises, body search and body examination etc., provided that certain prerequisites are fulfilled.</li> </ul>

			and Coast Guard (c) have certain powers within their area of competence/field of responsibility.
SI	Yes	In the Republic of Slovenia the authorities who are competent to gather or obtain evidence are the following: - in the pre-trail proceedings: police and investigative judge; - in the investigative phase: public prosecutor and investigative judge; - in the trial stage: public prosecutor and court.	The Slovenian criminal procedure is in general divided into three phases: pre-trail phase, investigative phase and trial phase. The police has leading role in the pre-trail proceedings where its main responsibility is to take steps necessary for discovering the perpetrator, ensuring that the perpetrator or his accomplice do not go into hiding or flee, detecting and preserving traces of crime or objects of value as
		The authorities who are competent for obtaining and gathering the evidence in the proceedings brought by administrative authorities Article 4(b) are: - Offence authorities i.e. administrative authorities and bearers of public authority which supervise implementation of laws and regulations governing offences, and bodies of self-governing local communities vested with authority for offences adjudication pursuant to special regulations. - Courts are offence courts of the first instance and offence courts of the second instance.	evidence, and collecting all information that may be useful for the successful conducting of criminal proceedings. The acts of police are informal and they don't have the nature of investigative measures in the meaning of the proposal for the directive. The cooperation in respect of gathering the needed information from other Member States is based on rules governing police cooperation. There is however one exception i.e. the interrogation of the suspect, which can be regarded as investigative measure and has in that respect the nature of an evidence in later stages of criminal proceeding. Therefore also the police would be able to act as an issuing authority when
		Therefore the authorities other than the ones defined as a judicial authority in accordance with Article $2(a)(ii)$ of the proposal for a Directive would be: police and offence authorities involved in proceedings brought by administrative authorities where the decision may give rise to proceedings before court (article 4(b)).	the interrogation of the suspect in the other Member State would be needed. As regards the proceedings brought by administrative authorities that may give rise to proceedings before court, the offence authorities have a competence to ex officio and without delay, promptly and

			straightforwardly establish the facts and collect the evidence necessary to adjudicate on the offence. Hence, this doesn't mean that they have the competence to order investigative measures that can be in criminal proceeding ordered by the public prosecutor or judge. Their competence is limited to gathering information and already existing evidences. When they would need to obtain such evidence they would be also able to act as an issuing authority in accordance with the EIO.
SK	No		
UK	No	By way of further background, under the UK's existing MLA legislation (the Crime and International Cooperation Act 2003 (CICA)) requests for evidence can be issued either by a court or by a designated prosecuting authority. The list of prosecuting authorities which are currently designated is as follows: - The Attorney General for England and Wales; - The Attorney General for Northern Ireland; - The Financial Services Authority. - The Director of Public Prosecutions and any Crown Prosecutor; - The Director of Public Prosecutions in Northern Ireland - The Director of the Revenue and Customs Prosecutions Office and anyone within that Office authorised by him; - The Director and any designated member of the Serious Fraud Office; - The Secretary of State for Business, Innovation & Skills in respect of his function of investigating and prosecuting offences;	

In addition to the courts and to these designated prosecuting authorities, in Scotland the Lord Advocate and any Procurator	
Fiscal can also request MLA.	