



**COUNCIL OF  
THE EUROPEAN UNION**

**Brussels, 10 November 2011**

**16721/11**

---

**Interinstitutional File:  
2011/0146 (COD)**

---

**LIMITE**

**GENVAL 118  
JAI 820  
DATAPROTECT 125  
CODEC 1982  
STATIS 83**

**NOTE**

---

from: Presidency  
to: Working Party on Statistics

---

No. prev. doc.: 11664/11 GENVAL 65 JAI 430 DATAPROTECT 64 CODEC 1045 STATIS 58  
1505/11 GENVAL 102 JAI 697 DATAPROTECT 106 CODEC 1580 STATIS 69

---

Subject: Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on  
European statistics on safety from crime - Summary table

---

Delegations find attached a summary table in order to recapitulate the discussion on the above proposal at the Working Party on Statistics on 11 October 2011.

In addition to the summary of the discussion, the document also presents (in remarks) the Presidency proposals on the articles which had been left pending, but were addressed by the 27-28 October Task Force on Victimisation. The Presidency compromise prepared on the basis of this table will be presented during the Working Party on Statistics on 23 November 2011.

Safety from crime statistics. Summary of the discussion – CWPS 11 October 2011			
Commission proposal	Presidency proposal as of 11 October 2011	Council position	Remarks
<i>RECITALS</i>			
(1) The European Council confirms in the Hague Programme the priority it attaches to developing an area of freedom, security and justice, responding to a central concern of the peoples of the States brought together in the Union, and in that Programme welcomes the Commission's initiative to establish European instruments for collecting, analysing and comparing information on crime and safety issues in the Member States. It tasks the Commission (Eurostat) with defining and collecting the data from Member States.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED -CWPS 11.10.2011	
(2) Developing a statistical instrument to measure safety concerns is one of the primary objectives of the Union Action Plan 2006-2010 on developing a comprehensive and coherent Union strategy to measure crime and criminal justice proposed by the Commission to achieve the goals set out in the Hague Programme.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED -CWPS 11.10.2011	
(3) The Stockholm Programme underlines the commitment of the European Council to an open and	(3) The Stockholm Programme underlines the commitment of the European Council to an open and	ACCEPTED -CWPS 11.10.2011	Supplement was introduced to reflect full reference to the Stockholm Programme.

<p>secure Europe serving and protecting the citizens, and invites the Commission to continue developing statistical tools to measure crime and criminal activities and to further develop the actions outlined and partly implemented in the Union Action Plan 2006-2010, in view of the increased need for such statistics in a number of areas concerning freedom, security and justice. Moreover, under the Sixth Framework Programme adopted by Decision No 1513/2002/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 concerning the sixth framework programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities, contributing to the creation of the European Research Area and to innovation (2002 to 2006) and the Seventh Framework Programme adopted by Decision No 1982/2006/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Seventh Framework Programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013), the Commission has funded a</p>	<p>secure Europe serving and protecting the citizens, and invites the Commission to continue developing statistical tools to measure crime and criminal activities and <u>to reflect on how</u> to further develop the actions outlined and partly implemented in the Union Action Plan 2006-2010, in view of the increased need for such statistics in a number of areas concerning freedom, security and justice. Moreover, under the Sixth Framework Programme adopted by Decision No 1513/2002/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 concerning the sixth framework programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities, contributing to the creation of the European Research Area and to innovation (2002 to 2006)<sup>5</sup> and the Seventh Framework Programme adopted by Decision No 1982/2006/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Seventh Framework Programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013)<sup>6</sup>, the Commission has funded a number of research projects dealing</p>		
--	---	--	--

number of research projects dealing with perceptions of safety and with trust in the police and justice systems, which should be taken into account.	with perceptions of safety and with trust in the police and justice systems, which should be taken into account.		
	<u>(3a) Whereas the availability of full and detailed statistics on crime and safety issues should improve the protection of European citizen against crime and constitutes a substantial public interest, the timely production of such statistics to satisfy specific information requirements which cannot be predicted in advance depends upon the availability of micro-data to allow the necessary aggregations to be calculated.</u>	ACCEPTED -CWPS 11.10.2011	Recital added to justify the need for confidential micro-data transmission according to the Opinion of the European Data Protection Supervisor on the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on European statistics on safety from crime (document no. 14481/11).
(4) The effort involved for the Member States to develop the necessary statistical tools for measuring crime and criminal justice, and the expense entailed in this process, are such that a financial contribution from the Union budget of up to 90% of the eligible costs is appropriate.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED -CWPS 11.10.2011	Accepted by MS on the condition that Eurostat provide the financial statement specifying for each MS the amount of co financing eligible cost of the survey implementation. (as in Article 11)
(5) Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics provides a reference framework for the	Unchanged	ACCEPTED -CWPS 11.10.2011	

<p>production of European statistics, including the procedure for awarding grants to national authorities in Article 5. In particular, it requires compliance with principles of professional independence, impartiality, objectivity, reliability, statistical confidentiality and cost effectiveness.</p>			
<p>(6) This Regulation ensures the right to respect for private and family life and to the protection of personal data, as set out in Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED -CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	
<p>(7) Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data and Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data apply in the context of this Regulation.</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED -CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	

<p>(8) When producing and disseminating European statistics under this Regulation, national statistical authorities and the Commission (Eurostat) should take account of the principles set out in the European Statistics Code of Practice endorsed by the Commission in its Recommendation of 25 May 2005 on the independence, integrity and accountability of national and Community statistical authorities.</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	
<p>(9) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely to establish a common legal framework for the production of European statistics on the safety from crime by collecting information based on a sample of persons, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States and can therefore, by reason of the scale and effects of the action, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	

<p>(10) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission as regards the practical arrangements for exchanging micro-data, the modalities and detailed structure of the quality reports as well as the data coding scheme. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers.</p>	<p>(10) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission as regards the practical arrangements for <u>transmission of</u> micro-data, the modalities and detailed structure of the quality reports as well as the data coding scheme. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers.</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	<p>Instead of ' exchanging micro-data' - ' transmission of micro-data' as in Art.8</p>
<p>(11) The European Data Protection Supervisor and European Statistical System Committee have been consulted.</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	

<i>ARTICLES</i>			
<i>Article 1</i>			
<b>Subject matter</b>			
This Regulation establishes a framework for the development, production and dissemination of comparable European statistics on safety from crime based on a survey of households or persons.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	
<i>Article 2</i>			
<b>Definitions</b>			
For the purpose of this Regulation, the following definitions shall apply:			New article 10a (on manual) was proposed and accepted by MS to answer the needs for definitions concerning victimisation
(a) 'household' means a person living alone or a group of people who live together in the same private dwelling and share expenditure, including the joint provision of the essentials of living; this definition does not cover collective households such as hospitals, care or residential homes, prisons, military barracks, religious institutions, boarding houses or hostels;	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	



<p>(b) 'usual residence' means the place where a person normally spends the daily period of rest, regardless of temporary absences for purposes of recreation, holidays, visits to friends and relatives, business, medical treatment or religious pilgrimage or in default, the place of legal or registered residence.</p> <p>The following persons alone shall be considered to be usual residents of the geographical area in question:</p> <p>(i) those who have lived in their place of usual residence for a continuous period of at least 12 months before the reference date; or</p> <p>(ii) those who arrived in their place of usual residence in the 12 months before the reference date with the intention of staying there for at least one year.</p> <p>Where the circumstances described in point (i) or (ii) cannot be established, 'usual residence' shall mean the place of legal or registered residence.</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011</p>	
<p>(c) 'safety' means citizens' feelings of security from threats to their person, household or possessions arising from criminal causes;</p>	<p>(c) 'safety <u>from crime</u>' means citizens' feelings of security from threats to their person, household or possessions arising from criminal causes;</p>	<p>ACCEPTED -CWPS 20.09.2011</p>	<p>instead of 'safety' - 'safety from crime'</p>

(d) 'proxy answer' means information about a person provided by another member of that person's household;	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	
(e) 'micro-data' means individual statistical records;	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	
(f) 'metadata on quality' means any information provided by data suppliers which is considered useful for the interpretation of those data.	(f) 'metadata <del>on quality</del> ' means any information provided by data suppliers which is considered useful for the interpretation of those data.	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	Instead of 'metadata on quality' - 'metadata'
<i>Article 3</i>			
<b>Scope</b>			
1. Each Member State shall submit to the Commission (Eurostat) data set out in Annex I.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	
2. Questions on sexual violence shall be asked separately on an optional basis.	<b>2. Member States shall choose whether to include the questions on sexual violence. Where these questions are included, they shall be asked separately from the questions on physical violence.</b>	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	Clarification of the ambiguous paragraph was introduced  Reformulation is proposed: -2. Member States shall choose whether to include the questions on sexual violence. Where these questions are included, <u>sexual violence shall be asked separately from the main questionnaire</u> .
3. By the way of exception, France and Ireland shall not be required to collect data on violence between members of the same household.	3. By the way of exception, France, Ireland and Malta shall not be required to collect data on violence between members of the same household.	MT request on CWPS 20.09.2011 – approved	

<i>Article 4</i>			
<b>Data characteristics and time reference</b>			
1. Data shall be collected on the basis of a sample of households or persons as set out in Article 5.	1. Data shall be collected on the basis of a sample of households or persons as set out in Article 5. <u>The information on the socio-demographic background of respondents may be taken from administrative sources.</u>	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	Adding provision allowing the MS to use administrative data. Reformulation is necessary due to decision on possibility of taking the technical variables from administrative sources.  Proposed reformulation: "The information on the socio-demographic background of respondents <u>as well as technical variables</u> may be taken from administrative sources."
2. Data shall be collected in 2013.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	
3. The observation period shall cover the twelve months preceding data collection.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	
<i>Article 5</i>			
<b>Sampling and sample size</b>			
1. The data shall be based on nationally representative probability samples.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	

<p>2. The sample size to be achieved, calculated on the assumption of simple random sampling shall be a minimum of:</p> <p>(a) 8000 persons in Member States with a population aged 16 and over which is higher than 10 million;</p> <p>(b) 7000 persons in Member States with a population aged 16 and over which is higher than 5 million and lower than 10 million;</p> <p>(c) 6000 persons in Member States with a population aged 16 and over which is higher than 1.5 million and lower than 5 million;</p> <p>(d) 5000 persons in Member States with a population aged 16 and over which is higher than 0.5 million and lower than 1.5 million;</p> <p>(e) 3000 persons in Member States with a population aged 16 and over which is lower than 0.5 million.</p>	<p>The position depends on conclusions of the Task Force on victimisation</p>	<p>should be clarified</p>	<p>The Task Force on Victimisation concluded that only one person shall be interviewed in the selected household. The issues of design effect as well as precision requirements are part of the manual.</p>
---	---	----------------------------	---

<i>Article 6</i>			
<b>Collection unit</b>			
1. The reference population shall be all persons living in households with their usual residence in the territory of the Member State at the time of data collection.	The position depends on conclusions of the Task Force on victimisation	Number of people to be interviewed should be defined	The Task Force on Victimisation concluded that only one person shall be interviewed in the selected household.
2. Small parts of the national territory in which no more than 2 % of the national population has its usual residence, as well as the national territories listed in Annex II, may be excluded from the survey.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	
3. The data shall pertain to persons aged 16 and over.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	
			it is proposed to add the following paragraph: <u>"3a. Only one person per household shall be interviewed"</u>
4. Proxy answers shall not be permitted.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 20.09.2011	

<i>Article 7</i>			
<b>Transmission and handling of data</b>			
1. Member States shall transmit confidential micro-data to the Commission (Eurostat) in accordance with the provisions on the transmission of data subject to confidentiality set out in Regulation (EC) No 223/2009. Member States shall ensure that the transmitted data do not permit the direct identification of households or persons.	1. Member States shall transmit the confidential micro-data to the Commission (Eurostat) in accordance with the provisions on the transmission of data subject to confidentiality set out in Regulation (EC) No 223/2009. Member States shall ensure that the transmitted data do not permit the direct identification of households or persons <u>and that personal data are protected in compliance with principles laid down in Directive 95/46/EC</u>	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	Supplement was introduced due to the request of MS. Additionally recital 3a justifying the need for confidential micro-data transmission was added.
2. Member States shall transmit the micro-data by 31 July 2014 at the latest. The micro-data shall be accompanied by a set of defined tables consisting of indicators on prevalence rates in the last 12 months, by types of crime and the safety feelings.	2. Member States shall transmit the micro-data by <u>15 September</u> 2014 at the latest. The micro-data shall be accompanied by a set of defined tables consisting of indicators on prevalence rates in the last 12 months, by types of crime and the safety feelings.	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	MS proposed on CWPS 20.09 to postpone the date of micro-data transmission. The change is connected with the necessity of modification of Art. 9 concerning data dissemination.

Article 8 Provision of data and metadata			
1. Member States shall provide the micro-data and associated metadata on quality in accordance with an exchange standard specified by the Commission (Eurostat). Micro-data and metadata on quality shall be made available to the Commission (Eurostat) through electronic means, by using the single entry point.	1. Member States shall provide the micro-data and associated metadata <del>on quality</del> in accordance with an exchange standard specified by the Commission (Eurostat). Micro-data and metadata <del>on quality</del> shall be made available to the Commission (Eurostat) through electronic means, by using the single entry point.	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	Instead of 'metadata on quality' - 'metadata' as in Art. 2
2. The Commission shall lay down, by means of implementing acts, practical arrangements for exchanging micro-data. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 12(2).	2. The Commission shall lay down, by means of implementing acts, practical arrangements for <u>transmission of</u> micro-data. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 12(2).	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	Instead of 'exchanging micro-data' - 'transmission of micro-data' as in Recital 10

<i>Article 9</i>			
<b>Data dissemination and access to confidential data for scientific purposes</b>			
1. The Commission (Eurostat) shall disseminate the statistics on safety from crime by 31 December 2014 at the latest.	1. The Commission (Eurostat) shall disseminate the statistics on safety from crime by <u>15 February 2015</u> at the latest.	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	The new proposed date of data dissemination results from the change of date in Art. 7 concerning data transmission
2. The Commission (Eurostat) may grant access to confidential data transmitted in accordance with this Regulation, under the conditions laid down in Regulation (EC) No 831/2002	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
<i>Article 10</i>			
<b>Quality assessment</b>			
1. Member States shall ensure the quality of the micro-data transmitted.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the quality criteria as referred to in Article 12(1) of Regulation (EC) 223/2009 shall apply to the data to be transmitted.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
2. Member States shall provide the Commission (Eurostat) with a report of the quality of the micro-data. The report shall be provided not later than one month after transmission of the data.	3. Member States shall provide the Commission (Eurostat) with a report of the quality of the micro-data. The report shall be provided not later than <u>15 October 2014</u> .	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	On MS request the date for providing the report to Eurostat was specified - instead of 'one month after the transmission of the data' - '15 October 2014'.



<p>4. In applying the quality criteria referred to in paragraph 2 to the data covered by this Regulation, the Commission shall define, by means of implementing acts, the modalities and detailed structure of the metadata files on quality as well as the data coding scheme. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 12(2).</p>	<p>4. In applying the quality criteria referred to in paragraph 2 to the data covered by this Regulation, the Commission shall define, by means of implementing acts, the modalities and detailed structure of the metadata files <del>on quality</del> as well as the data coding scheme. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 12(2).</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	<p>Instead of 'metadata on quality' - 'metadata' as in Art. 2 and Art. 8.</p>
<p>5. On the basis of the reports referred to in paragraph 3, the Commission (Eurostat) shall assess the quality of the data transmitted with particular regard to ensuring the comparability of data between Member States.</p>	<p>5. On the basis of the reports referred to in paragraph 3, the Commission (Eurostat) shall assess the quality of the data transmitted with particular regard to ensuring the comparability of data between Member States.</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	
	<p><u>6. By 30 December 2015 the Commission shall submit an evaluation report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the statistics compiled pursuant to this Regulation and, in particular on their relevance and quality,</u></p>	<p>MS accepted on CWPS 11.10.2011 a proposal of the Presidency to include a provision on evaluation report.</p>	<p>The proposed paragraph specifying the date of submitting the quality report by Eurostat was added on request of MS.</p> <p>The current wording of the paragraph 6 will be presented to MS for their acceptance.</p>

<i>Article 10a</i>			
<b>Methodological Manual</b>			
	<u>The Commission (Eurostat) shall, in close cooperation with Member States, draw up a methodological manual which contains guidelines on the statistics produced pursuant to this Regulation, including definitions to be applied to the characteristics of the required information and common standards designed to ensure the quality of the data.</u>	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	Article added on request of the MS in order to clarify the definitions of variables used in the Regulation and also the issues of sampling and precision requirements .
<i>Article 11</i>			
<b>Financing</b>			
1. To implement the survey, the Commission shall make a financial contribution to the Member States in the form of a grant to help cover the costs of collecting, processing and transmitting the data, including the salary costs of personnel in national administrations, subject to the conditions set out in the grant agreement. The grant shall be awarded to the national statistical institutes and other national authorities referred to in Article 5(2) of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	Accepted by MS on the condition that Eurostat provide the financial statement specifying for each MS the amount of co-financing eligible costs of the survey implementation. (as in Recital 4)
2. The maximum amount of the	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	

Union's co-financing may not exceed 90% of the total eligible costs of the project.			
3. The financial contribution shall depend on the availability of appropriations entered in the budget of the Union.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED- CWPS 11.10.2011	
4. Actions financed under this Regulation shall not receive assistance from other Union financial instruments. The beneficiaries shall provide the Commission with information about any other funding received and of ongoing applications for funding	Unchanged	ACCEPTED- CWPS 11.10.2011	
5. The Commission shall ensure that, when actions financed under this Regulation are implemented, the financial interests of the Union are protected by the application of preventive measures against fraud, corruption and any other illegal activities, by effective checks and by the recovery of the amounts unduly paid and, if irregularities are detected, by effective, proportional and dissuasive penalties, in accordance with Council Regulations (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 and (Euratom, EC) No 2185/96 and with Regulation (EC) No	Unchanged	ACCEPTED- CWPS 11.10.2011	

1073/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council.			
6. For actions financed under this Regulation, the notion of irregularity referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 shall mean any infringement or breach of a contractual obligation resulting from an act or omission by an economic operator, which has, or would have, the effect of prejudicing the general budget of the Union or budgets managed by it, by an unjustified item of expenditure.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
7. Contracts and agreements resulting from this Regulation shall provide, in particular, for supervision and financial control by the Commission (or any representative authorised by it) and audits by the Court of Auditors, if necessary, on the spot.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
<i>Article 12</i>			
<b>Committee procedure</b>			
1. The Commission shall be assisted by the European Statistical System Committee established by Regulation (EC) No 223/2009. That committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	

2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011 with reservation of ES	Proposal for consideration – to add in paragraph 2 the following sentence: [Where the committee delivers no opinion, the Commission shall not adopt the draft implementing act and the third sub-paragraph of Article 5(4) of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply]
<i>Article 13</i> <b>Entry into force and applicability</b>			
This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i>  This Regulation shall cease to apply on 30 June 2015  This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i>  This Regulation shall cease to apply on 30 <u>December</u> 2015  This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	Introducing deadline for the Commission to submit an evaluation report in Art. 10 implicates the need to postpone the sunset clause.
Done at Brussels,  <i>For the European Parliament</i> <i>The President</i>  <i>The President</i>			

ANNEX I			
DATA TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION (EUROSTAT)			
1. Experience of crime by types of crime:	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
1.1. Vehicle related crimes: (1) car theft, (2) theft from cars, (3) motorcycle theft, (4) bicycle theft;	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
1.2. Household related crime: burglary in main home;	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
1.3. Personal crimes: (1) robbery, (2) theft of personal property;	The position depends on conclusions of the Task Force on victimisation	some countries raised reservation as regard robbery surveying because of too small samples to receive reliable results	Justification of needs and legitimacy for collecting such data will be presented at 23 November meeting.
1.4. Non-conventional crimes: (1) consumer fraud, (2) card / on-line banking abuse, (3) bribery (backhanders);	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
2. Crime details:	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	

<p>2.1. For every type of crime listed under 1.1-1.4, the following details are to be collected:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether the event/s happened in the last 5 years (optional),</li> <li>- whether the event/s happened in the last 12 months,</li> <li>- how often it happened in the last 12 months,</li> <li>- whether the last event was reported to the police (or other authority in case of consumer fraud, card/ on-line banking abuse and bribery),</li> <li>- whether the police response to the last event reported was satisfactory;</li> </ul>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	
<p>2.2. In addition to the details mentioned in 2.1, the following information on the last event for each crime are also to be collected as follows:</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	
<p>(1) for all vehicle-related crimes, personal crimes, non-conventional crimes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- where the last event happened;</li> </ul> <p>(2) for car theft:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether the car was returned;</li> </ul> <p>(3) for the burglary of main home, robbery:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- why the police were not informed,</li> </ul>			

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- why the respondent was not satisfied with police response;</li> <li>- whether the respondent contacted victim support;</li> <li>- whether victim support would have been useful;</li> <li>(4) for robbery: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether anything was stolen;</li> <li>- whether the offender was using a weapon;</li> <li>- what kind of weapon was used by the offender;</li> <li>- whether the respondent was injured;</li> <li>- whether the injury was medically treated;</li> <li>- what was the emotional impact of the event on respondent;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(5) for theft of personal property: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether the respondent was holding / carrying the stolen articles;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(6) for consumer fraud: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether the fraud involved buying goods or services;</li> <li>- whether the fraud involved internet or email;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(7) for card / on-line banking abuse: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether it was card or on-line banking abuse;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(8) for bribery: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- who was involved;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<p>3. Respondent attitudes to law enforcement and security precautions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether the respondent was</li> </ul>	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	



<p>exposed to drugs problems,          – whether the respondent owns a burglar alarm,          – whether the respondent owns a special door or locks,          – whether the respondent avoids certain places after dark,          – whether the respondent owns a gun,          – reason for owning a gun,          – respondent's opinion of police performance,          – respondent's opinion of courts performance,          – respondent's opinion of an appropriate sentences for a burglary;</p>			
<p>4. Respondent's feelings of safety and worries about crime:          – feelings of safety out alone at night,          – worries about being physically attacked,          – worries about terrorism,          – likelihood of burglary;</p>	<p>4. Respondent's feelings of safety and worries about crime:          – feelings of safety out alone at night,          – worries about being physically attacked,          – worries about terrorism,          – <u>worries to suffer a burglary;</u></p>	<p>the position depends on conclusions of the Task Force on Victimisation</p>	<p>The Task Force on Victimisation accepted the original wording as in the Commission proposal. So it remains: <b>likelihood of burglary</b> in a Presidency proposal of the text to the draft regulation.</p>
<p>5. Socio-demographic background of respondent:          – sex,          – age,          – country of birth,          – country of birth of mother,          – country of birth of father,          – citizenship,          – marital status,</p>	<p>5. Socio-demographic background of respondent:          – sex,          – age,          – country of birth,          – country of birth of mother,          – country of birth of father,          – citizenship,          – marital status,</p>	<p>ACCEPTED –          CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	<p>The variable "past relationships" was deleted according to IMS and Commission decision, however the reservation was raised because the: It is needed for calculating violence rates.          The Task Force on Victimisation confirmed that this variable is not needed in section 5.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- de facto marital status,</li> <li>- past relationships,</li> <li>- employment status,</li> <li>- full or part-time work,</li> <li>- professional status,</li> <li>- occupation in employment,</li> <li>- economic activity of the local unit,</li> <li>- highest level of education or training successfully completed,</li> <li>- household income level;</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- de facto marital status,</li> <li>- <del>past relationships;</del></li> <li>- employment status,</li> <li>- full or part-time work,</li> <li>- professional status,</li> <li>- occupation in employment,</li> <li>- economic activity of the local unit,</li> <li>- highest level of education or training successfully completed,</li> <li>- household income level;</li> </ul>		
<p>6. Technical variables:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- country of residence,</li> <li>- region of residence,</li> <li>- degree of urbanisation of the area where the household lives,</li> <li>- reference year of survey,</li> <li>- month of survey,</li> <li>- identification of respondent,</li> <li>- weighting factor for household,</li> <li>- weighting factor for individuals,</li> <li>- data collection method used,</li> <li>- method of checking for telescoping effect used during interview,</li> <li>- language used for interview,</li> <li>- number of persons living in household, including the respondent;</li> </ul>	<p>6. Technical variables:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- country of residence,</li> <li>- region of residence,</li> <li>- degree of urbanisation of the area where the household lives,</li> <li>- reference year of survey,</li> <li>- month of survey,</li> <li>- <u>respondent code</u>,</li> <li>- weighting factor for household,</li> <li>- weighting factor for individuals,</li> <li>- data collection method used,</li> <li>- method of checking for telescoping effect used during interview,</li> <li>- language used for interview,</li> <li>- number of persons living in household, including the respondent;</li> </ul>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p> <p>Weighting factor for household needs clarification when persons are surveyed; issue will be discussed during the Task Force on victimisation.</p> <p>Some technical variables should be allowed to be taken from administrative sources.</p>	<p>Change of wording 'identification of respondent' to 'respondent code';</p> <p>Acceptance of taking technical variables from administrative sources implicates a need for reformulation of Art. 4(1).</p> <p>The weighting factor for household is also necessary as some questions even asked to one person concern the whole household. The "weighting" issue will be a part of the manual.</p>
<p>7. Violence crimes (to be asked in a separate module at the end of interview):</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	

<p>(1) non-partner physical violence:          – whether anyone, for example someone at school or at work, a friend or a neighbour or a stranger, ever slapped or thrown something or pushed or pulled hair,          – whether anyone from the persons mentioned above hit with fist or something else, or kicked, dragged or beaten up,          – whether anyone from the persons mentioned above choked or burnt, threatened or used gun, knife or other weapon;</p>	<p>(1) non-partner physical violence:          – whether anyone, for example someone at school or at work, a friend or a neighbour or a stranger, <u>ever slapped or threw something that could hurt</u> or pushed or shoved or pulled hair,          – whether anyone from the persons mentioned above hit with fist or something else, or kicked, dragged or beaten up,          – whether anyone from the persons mentioned above choked or burnt, threatened or used gun, knife or other weapon;</p>	<p>ACCEPTED -          CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	<p>Question 7(1) was made consistent with question 7(3)           Word "ever" was deleted as it implicates a lifetime observation period</p>
<p>(2) non-partner sexual violence (optional):          – whether anyone, for example someone at school or work, a friend or a neighbour or a stranger, ever forced into unwanted sexual intercourse for example by threatening, holding down or putting in a situation where refusal was impossible,          – whether anyone from the persons mentioned above attempted to force into unwanted sexual act or sexual intercourse or done anything else sexually that was unwanted;</p>	<p>(2) non-partner sexual violence (optional):          – <i>whether anyone from the persons mentioned above attempted to force into unwanted sexual act or sexual intercourse or done anything else sexually that was unwanted,</i>          – whether anyone, for example someone at school or work, a friend or a neighbour or a stranger, ever forced into unwanted sexual intercourse for example by threatening, holding down or putting in a situation where refusal was impossible;</p>	<p>ACCEPTED -          CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	<p>Sequence of question was changed.           Word "ever" was deleted as it implicates a lifetime observation period</p>

<p>(3) partner physical violence:          – whether present partner or a partner in the past, for example an ex-husband, an ex-boyfriend or an ex-wife, ex-girlfriend slapped or threw something that could hurt or pushed or shoved or pulled hair,          – whether anyone from the persons mentioned above hit with fist or something else, or kicked, dragged or beaten up,          – whether anyone from the persons mentioned above choked or burnt, threatened or used gun, knife or other weapon;</p>	<p>Unchanged</p>	<p>ACCEPTED -          CWPS (1.10.2011)</p>	
<p>(4) partner sexual violence (optional):          – whether respondent had unwanted sexual activity because was afraid of what present partner or a partner in the past, for example an ex-husband, an ex-boyfriend or an ex-wife, ex-girlfriend might do,          – whether any of the persons mentioned above forced respondent into unwanted sexual activity;</p>	<p>(4) partner sexual violence (optional):          – <i>whether any of the persons mentioned above forced respondent into unwanted sexual activity,</i>          – <i>whether respondent had unwanted sexual activity because was afraid of what present partner or a partner in the past, for example an ex-husband, an ex-boyfriend or an ex-wife, ex-girlfriend might do;</i></p>	<p>ACCEPTED -          CWPS (1.10.2011)</p>	<p>Sequence of question was changed.</p>

<p>7.1. For non-partner physical and partner physical violence the following details are to be collected:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether the event/s happened in the last 5 years (optional),</li> <li>- whether the event/s happened in the last 12 months,</li> <li>- how often it happened in last 12 months,</li> <li>- where the last event happened,</li> <li>- whether weapon a was used,</li> <li>- what kind of weapon was used,</li> <li>- whether the respondent was injured,</li> <li>- whether the injury was medically treated,</li> <li>- what was the emotional impact of the event on the respondent,</li> <li>- who did it,</li> <li>- whether the last incident was reported to the police,</li> <li>- why it was not reported,</li> <li>- whether the police response to the last event reported was satisfactory,</li> <li>- why the respondent was not satisfied with the police response,</li> <li>- whether the respondent contacted victim support,</li> <li>- whether victim support would have been useful;</li> </ul>	<p>7.1. For non-partner physical and partner physical violence the following details are to be collected:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- whether the event/s happened in the last 5 years (optional),</li> <li>- whether the event/s happened in the last 12 months,</li> <li>- how often it happened in last 12 months,</li> <li>- where the last event happened,</li> <li>- whether a weapon was used,</li> <li>- what kind of weapon was used,</li> <li>- whether the respondent was injured,</li> <li>- whether the injury was medically treated,</li> <li>- what was the emotional impact of the event on the respondent,</li> <li>- who did it,</li> <li>- whether the last incident was reported to the police,</li> <li>- why it was not reported,</li> <li>- whether the police response to the last event reported was satisfactory,</li> <li>- why the respondent was not satisfied with the police response,</li> <li>- whether the respondent contacted victim support,</li> <li>- whether victim support would have been useful;</li> </ul>	<p>ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011</p>	<p>Typo was corrected</p>
---	---	---------------------------------------	---------------------------

7.2. The details listed in 7.1 are optional for non-partner sexual and partner sexual violence crimes.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
8. Countries not asking screening questions for the crime events in the last 5 years from the date of the interview are to avoid over reporting ("telescoping" effect) either by asking screening questions covering a minimum of two years or by checking the exact date of the event reported by the respondent.	Unchanged	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	

ANNEX 2					
NATIONAL TERRITORIES THAT MAY BE EXCLUDED FROM THE SURVEY					
Country	National territories	Country	National territories	ACCEPTED - CWPS 11.10.2011	
France	French Overseas Departments and territories	France	French Overseas Departments and territories		
Netherlands	Caribbean Islands (Bonaire, St. Eustatius and Saba), the West Frisian Islands with the exception of Texel	Netherlands	Caribbean Islands (Bonaire, St. Eustatius and Saba), the West Frisian Islands with the exception of Texel		
Ireland	All offshore islands with the exception of Achill, Bull, Cruit, Goramna, Inishnee, Lettermore, Lettermullan and Valentia	Ireland	All offshore islands with the exception of Achill, Bull, Cruit, Goramna, Inishnee, Lettermore, Lettermullan and Valentia		
United Kingdom	Scotland north of the Caledonian Canal, the Scilly Islands	United Kingdom	Scotland north of the Caledonian Canal, the Scilly Islands, <u>Gibraltar</u>		
		Malta	<u>Gozo and Comino Islands</u>		