

Brussels, 23 March 2017 (OR. en)

7396/17

Interinstitutional File: 2016/0225 (COD)

LIMITE

ASIM 29 RELEX 246 CODEC 418

#### NOTE

From:	Presidency
To:	Delegations
No. prev. doc.:	5332/17
Subject:	Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a Union Resettlement Framework and amending Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 of the European Parliament and the Council (First reading)

At its meeting on 2 March, the <u>Asylum Working Party</u> examined compromise proposals suggested by the <u>Presidency</u> on the proposal for a Union Resettlement Framework.

The text of the proposal in Annex contains modifications suggested by the <u>Presidency</u> except for the recitals (placed in square brackets, to be discussed at a later stage).

Suggested modifications are indicated as follows:

- new text compared to the Commission proposal is in **bold**;
- new text compared to the previous version of this document is in **bold underlined**;
- deleted text is in strikethrough.

Comments made by delegations on the Commission proposal text and on the Presidency compromise proposals, orally and in writing, appear in the footnotes of the Annex.

7396/17 JNG/pf 1
DGD 1B **LIMITE EN** 

## Proposal for a

#### REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

establishing a Union Resettlement <u>and Humanitarian Admission</u> Framework and amending Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 of the European Parliament and the Council<sup>1</sup>

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 78(2)(d) and (g) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee<sup>2</sup>,

OJ C , , p. .

AT: reservation on the proposal. NL: modify title as follows: "Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and the Council establishing a Union Resettlement Framework for Resettlement and other forms of humanitarian admission and amending Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 of the European Parliament and the Council". To be amended throughout the entire document. PL: the title should clearly indicate the voluntary participation in the Framework established by this Regulation and clarify whether the proposed instrument concerns resettlement only or also other forms of humanitarian admission: "Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a Union Voluntary Resettlement Framework and other Humanitarian Admission Programmes Framework and amending Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 of the European Parliament and the Council."

BG, CZ, ES, FI, HU, IE, PL, SE, SI, SK: scrutiny reservation on the proposal. HU, SI: parliamentary reservation

Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions<sup>3</sup>,

Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,

#### Whereas:

- [(1) The Justice and Home Affairs Council Conclusions of 10 October 2014 acknowledged that "while taking into account the efforts carried out by Member States affected by migratory flows, all Member States should give their contribution to [resettlement] in a fair and balanced manner."<sup>4</sup>
- (2) In its Communication on a European Agenda on Migration<sup>5</sup> of 13 May 2015 the Commission set out the need for a common approach to granting protection to displaced persons in need of protection through resettlement.
- On 8 June 2015 the Commission addressed a Recommendation on a European Resettlement Scheme<sup>6</sup> to the Member States, based on an equitable distribution key. It was followed by Conclusions of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States meeting within the Council of 20 July 2015 to resettle, through multilateral and national schemes, 22 504 persons in clear need of international protection<sup>7</sup>. The resettlement places were distributed between Member States and Dublin Associated States according to the commitments set out in the Annex to the Conclusions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OJ C, , p. .

Council Conclusions on "Taking action to better manage migratory flows", Justice and Home Affairs Council meeting, 10 October 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> COM(2015) 240 final.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> C(2015) 3560 final.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 11097/15.

- (4) On 15 December 2015, the Commission addressed a Recommendation for a Voluntary Humanitarian Admission Scheme with Turkey<sup>8</sup> to the Member States and Associated States recommending that participating States admit persons displaced by the conflict in Syria who are in need of international protection. According to the EU-Turkey Statement of 18 March 2016 a Voluntary Humanitarian Admission Scheme will be activated once irregular crossings between Turkey and the EU are ending or at least have been substantially and sustainably reduced. Member States will contribute on a voluntary basis to this scheme.
- (5) According to the EU-Turkey Statement of 18 March 2016 all new irregular migrants crossing from Turkey into Greek islands as from 20 March 2016 would be returned to Turkey. For every Syrian being returned to Turkey from Greek islands, another Syrian will be resettled from Turkey to the Union taking into account the United Nations Vulnerability Criteria. In May 2016, the Member States and Dublin Associated States and Turkey reached a common understanding on Standard Operating Procedures guiding the implementation of this resettlement scheme.
- (6) On 6 April 2016, the Commission adopted a Communication Towards a Reform of the Common European Asylum System and enhancing legal avenues to Europe<sup>9</sup> in which it announced it would set out a proposal for a structured resettlement system framing the Union's policy on resettlement and providing a common approach to safe and legal arrival in the Union for persons in need of international protection.
- (7) On 12 April 2016, the European Parliament adopted a resolution on the Situation in the Mediterranean and the need for a holistic EU approach to Migration<sup>10</sup> underlining the need for a permanent Union-wide resettlement programme, providing resettlement for a meaningful number of refugees, having regard to the overall number of refugees seeking protection in the Union.

<sup>10</sup> 2015/2095(INI).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> C(2015) 9490.

<sup>9</sup> COM(2016) 197 final.

- (8) Building on the existing initiatives, a stable and reliable Union Resettlement Framework should be established for the resettlement of persons in need of international protection to be implemented in accordance with annual Union resettlement plans and targeted Union resettlement schemes which effectively deliver on Member States' concrete commitments.
- (9) Such a framework is a necessary part of a well-managed migration policy to reduce divergences among national resettlement practices and procedures, provide for the legal and safe arrival to the territory of the Member States of third-country nationals and stateless persons in need of international protection, help reduce the risk of a large-scale irregular inflow of third-country nationals and stateless persons to the territory of the Member States and thereby reducing the pressure of spontaneous arrivals on the Member States' asylum systems, be an expression of solidarity with countries in regions to which or within which a large number of persons in need of international protection has been displaced by helping to alleviate the pressure on those countries, help achieve Union's foreign policy objectives by increasing the Union's leverage vis-à-vis third countries, and effectively contribute to global resettlement initiatives through speaking with one voice in international fora and with third countries.
- (10) In order to help reduce the risk of a large-scale irregular inflow of third-country nationals and stateless persons to the territory of the Member States, show solidarity with countries in regions to which or within which a large number of persons in need of international protection has been displaced by helping to alleviate the pressure on those countries, and help achieve the Union's foreign policy objectives, the regions or third countries from which resettlement is to occur should fit in a tailored engagement with third countries to better manage migration as foreseen in the Commission's Communication of 7 June 2016 on Establishing a new Partnership Framework with third countries under the European Agenda on Migration<sup>11</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> COM(2016) 377 final.

- (11) In order to reduce divergences among the national resettlement practices and procedures, common standard procedures and common eligibility criteria and exclusion grounds for the selection should be laid down, as well as a common protection status to be granted to resettled persons.
- (12) The common standard procedures should build on the existing resettlement experience and standards of the Member States, in particular the Standard Operating Procedures guiding the implementation of the resettlement scheme with Turkey set out in the EU-Turkey Statement of 18 March 2016. The Union Resettlement Framework should allow the use of two types of standard resettlement procedures.
- (13) Both types of procedure consist of the following stages: identification, registration, assessment and decision.
- (14) An ordinary procedure should be established allowing for a full assessment of the international protection needs of third-country nationals or stateless persons.
- (15) An expedited procedure should be established with the same level of security checks as in the ordinary procedure. However, in the expedited procedure, the assessment of the international protection needs of third-country nationals or stateless persons should be limited to an assessment of their eligibility for subsidiary protection without assessing their qualification for refugee status<sup>12</sup>.
- (16) The resettlement procedure should be concluded as soon as possible in order to discourage persons in need of international protection to use irregular ways to enter the European Union to seek protection. At the same time it should ensure that Member States have sufficient time for a full and adequate examination of each case. The time-limits should correspond to what is necessary to make the different types of assessment foreseen for the ordinary and expedited procedure.

\_

<sup>12</sup> IT, NL: delete recital.

- (17) Any personal data collected for the purpose of the resettlement procedure should be stored for a maximum period of five years from the date of resettlement. Given that third-country nationals or stateless persons who have already been resettled by one Member State or who during the last five years refused to resettle to a Member State should be excluded from resettlement to another Member State, that period should be considered a necessary period for the storage of personal details, including fingerprints and facial images<sup>13</sup>.
- (18) The choice of the resettlement procedure should be made for each targeted Union resettlement scheme. An expedited procedure might be warranted on humanitarian grounds or in case of urgent legal or physical protection needs.<sup>14</sup>
- (19) There is no subjective right to be resettled.
- In order to allow for supplementing the rules which govern the procedure to be applied in targeted Union resettlement schemes, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission to adapt the procedure to the circumstances in the third country from which resettlement takes place such as determining that third country's role in the procedure. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016<sup>15</sup>. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts. <sup>16</sup>

CZ: the storage period should be of 10 years which is compatible with the Eurodac Regulation.

<sup>14</sup> IT, NL: delete recital.

OJ L123, 12.05.2016, p. 1.

NL: when merging articles 7 and 8 (or deleting art.8) this text should be deleted or at least amended accordingly.

- (21) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of the Union Resettlement Framework, implementing powers should be conferred on the Council for establishing the annual Union resettlement plan, fixing the maximum total number of persons to be resettled, the details about the participation of the Member States in the plan and their contributions to the total number of persons to be resettled, as well as overall geographical priorities.
- (22) These powers should be exercised on a proposal from the Commission on the maximum total number of persons to be resettled and overall geographical priorities. The Commission should make its proposal simultaneously with its proposal on the draft Union annual budget. The Council should aim to adopt the proposal within two months. The Commission and the Council should take into account the discussions within the High-Level Resettlement Committee.
- In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of the Union Resettlement Framework, the Commission should be empowered to establish targeted Union resettlement schemes laying down the precise number out of the total number of persons to be resettled and participation of the Member State, consistent with the annual Union Resettlement plan. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers<sup>17</sup>. The examination procedure should be used for establishing targeted Union resettlement schemes given that those schemes have substantial implications. The Commission should aim to establish targeted Union resettlement schemes as soon as possible after the adoption of the annual Union resettlement plan and whenever necessary during the period covered by the annual Union resettlement plan. The Commission should take into account the discussions within the High-Level Resettlement Committee.<sup>18</sup>

\_

OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13.

CZ: replace "precise" with "maximum". NL: when merging articles 7 and 8 (or deleting art.8) this text should be deleted or at least amended accordingly.

- (24) Each targeted Union resettlement scheme should determine which standard procedural rules should apply to its implementation. It should in addition set out local cooperation arrangements where and as appropriate to facilitate its implementation.<sup>19</sup>
- (25) Resettled persons should be granted international protection. Accordingly, the provisions on the content of international protection contained in the asylum acquis should apply as of the moment when resettled persons arrive on the territory of the Member States, including the rules to discourage secondary movement of beneficiaries of international protection.<sup>20</sup>
- (26) In line with the Commission proposal for a Regulation establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining an application for international protection lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national or a stateless person (recast)<sup>21</sup>, in order to comprehensively reflect the efforts of each Member State, the number of persons effectively resettled to a Member State should be added to the number of applications for international protection for the purpose of calculating the corrective allocation mechanism proposed by the Commission.
- (27) Given the expertise of UNHCR in facilitating the different forms of admission of persons in need of international protection from third countries, to which they have been displaced, to Member States willing to admit them, UNHCR should continue to play a key role in resettlement efforts conducted under the Union Resettlement Framework. In addition to UNHCR, other international actors such as the International Organisation for Migration (IOM) should be called upon to assist Member States in the implementation of the Union Resettlement Framework.

<sup>21</sup> COM(2016) 270 final.

NL: when merging articles 7 and 8 (or deleting art.8) this text should be deleted or at least amended accordingly.

NL: important that this is stipulated in the entire document. Reservation: refugees benefiting from subsidiary protection status will be able to start proceedings to obtain a refugee status. Current CEAS proposals do not forbid resettled refugees to start an asylum procedure once in the receiving MS. This would go against and annihilate one of the biggest advantages of resettlement as such, which is that the entire procedure is passed through abroad and immediately upon arrival a permit is given and the resettled refugee can start his/her new life; without having to await lengthy (and costly) legal proceedings. This would make resettlement less appealing.

- (28) [The European Union Agency for Asylum] should assist Member States in the implementation of Union Resettlement Framework in accordance with its mandate.
- (29) A High-Level Resettlement Committee should be established to allow for broad consultations with all stakeholders on the implementation of the Union Resettlement Framework.
- (30) Resettlement efforts by the Member States under this Regulation should be supported by appropriate funding from the Union's budget. To enable a proper and sustainable functioning of the resettlement schemes amendments are necessary to Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>22</sup> <sup>23</sup>.

\_

7396/17 JNG/pf 10
ANNEX DGD 1B **LIMITE EN** 

Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 establishing the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund, amending Council Decision 2008/381/EC and repealing Decisions No 573/2007/EC and No 575/2007/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Decision 2007/435/EC (OJ L 150, 20.05.2014, p. 168).

FR: proposal: "Resettlement *and humanitarian admission* efforts by the Member States under this Regulation should be supported by appropriate funding from the Union's budget. To enable a proper and sustainable functioning of the resettlement *and humanitarian admission* schemes amendments are necessary to Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council."

- (31) This Regulation does not affect the ability of the Member States to adopt or implement national resettlement schemes, which do not jeopardise the attainment of the Union's objectives under this Regulation<sup>24</sup>, for example where they contribute an additional number of resettlement places to targeted Union resettlement schemes established under this Regulation going beyond their contribution to the maximum number of persons to be resettled under the annual Union resettlement plan.<sup>25</sup>
- (32) Complementarity with ongoing resettlement and humanitarian admission initiatives undertaken in the Union framework should be ensured.
- (33) This Regulation respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and should therefore be applied in a manner consistent with these rights and principles, including as regards the rights of the child, the right to respect for family life and the general principle of non-discrimination.
- (34) Any processing of personal data by the authorities of the Member States within the framework of this Regulation should be conducted in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation).

FR: proposes deleting part of the sentence: "which do not jeopardise the attainment of the Union's objectives under this Regulation. FR believes this sentence is redundant, and misleading as national efforts could be deterred. Proposes new wording: "for example where they contribute an additional number of resettlement places to targeted Union plans for resettlement schemes and other forms of humanitarian admission established under this Regulation going beyond their contribution to the maximum number of persons to be resettled under the annual Union resettlement plan."

CZ: clarification required on the meaning of "which do not jeopardise the attainment of the Union's objectives". It should be clearly stated in the regulation what (and who) determines whether national resettlement schemes jeopardise the Union's objectives. NL: does "which do not jeopardise the attainment of the Union's objectives" suggest that MS would not be entirely free in their national resettlement efforts? Given that the main objective is to increase resettlement efforts in general, this would not be encouraging in that respect. Delete "annual".

- (35) Any processing of personal data by [the European Union Agency for Asylum] within the framework of this Regulation should be conducted in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council,<sup>26</sup> as well as [Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX (EU Asylum Agency Regulation)<sup>27</sup>] and it should respect the principles of necessity and proportionality.
- (36) The application of this Regulation should be reviewed simultaneously with the review of Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 establishing the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund.
- [In accordance with Article 3 of Protocol No 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the area of freedom, security and justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, those Member States have notified their wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Regulation]

OR

(37) [In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of Protocol No 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the area of freedom, security and justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and without prejudice to Article 4 of that Protocol, those Member States are not taking part in the adoption of this Regulation and are not bound by it or subject to its application.]

OR

OJ L [...], p. [...].

Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data (OJ L 8, 12.1.2001, p. 1).

- (37) [In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of Protocol No 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the area of freedom, security and justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and without prejudice to Article 4 of that Protocol, the United Kingdom is not taking part in the adoption of this Regulation and is not bound by it or subject to its application.
- (37a) In accordance with Article 3 of Protocol No 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the area of freedom, security and justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Ireland has notified (, by letter of ...,) its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Regulation.]

OR

- (37) [In accordance with Article 3 of Protocol No 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the area of freedom, security and justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the United Kingdom has notified (, by letter of ...,) its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Regulation.
- (37a) In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of Protocol No 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the area of freedom, security and justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and without prejudice to Article 4 of that Protocol, Ireland is not taking part in the adoption of this Regulation and is not bound by it or subject to its application.]
- (38) In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of the Protocol (No 22) on the position of Denmark, annexed to the TEU and to the TFEU, Denmark is not taking part in the adoption of this Regulation and is not bound by it or subject to its application,]

#### HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

# Article 1 Subject matter<sup>28</sup>

28

**AT:** reservation on the article; renumber the current para as (1) and add two more paras as follows: "(2) The Participation of Member States will be on a voluntary basis. There is no subjective right of a third country national or stateless person to be resettled. (3) Whenever a Member State decides voluntarily not to admit third country nationals or stateless persons within this Regulation, this state shall not participate in the procedure set out in Article 7." A horizontal approach should be followed, including the articles in the other proposals that make a reference to resettlement, which should be voluntary. **BE:** supports AT on the horizontal approach (especially links with Eurodac). FR: modify text as follows: "This Regulation establishes a Union Framework for Resettlement and other forms of humanitarian admission Framework for the admission of third-country nationals and stateless persons to the territory of the Member States with a view to granting them international protection." This proposal aims at covering three major concerns for France: 1) Resettlement should be clearly distinguished from other forms of humanitarian admission; 2) volumes of "other forms of humanitarian admission" should be taken into account in the contributions of MS to the EU framework and the EU contribution globally, as they tend to fulfilling the same objective; 3) however, whereas FR supports the standardization of procedures at EU level regarding resettlement, it believes that it should be possible that humanitarian admission, given the variety of forms it takes, follows either the EU procedure or national procedures. NL: modify text as follows: "This Regulation establishes a Union Framework for Resettlement and other forms of humanitarian admission Framework for the admission of third-country nationals and stateless persons to the territory of the Member States with a view to granting them international protection." In case 'other forms of humanitarian admission' under this Framework can also result in other statuses than international protection status, this wording should be amended accordingly. Suggestion could be to add: "or any other status which offers similar rights and benefits under national and Union law as international protection under the terms of the Oualification Regulation". BG, CZ, FR, IE, LU, LV, PL, RO, SI, SK: resettlement should be voluntary.

- 1. This Regulation:<sup>29</sup>
  - a) establishes a Union Resettlement <u>and Humanitarian Admission</u> Framework for the admission of third-country nationals and <u>or</u> stateless persons to the territory of the Member States with a view to granting them international protection as defined in Article 2 (1) of Regulation (EU) XXX/XXX [Qualification Regulation] ("international protection"); and
  - b) lays down rules on the <u>admission</u> resettlement of third-country nationals <u>and or</u> stateless persons to the territory of the Member States <u>for the purpose of implementing this Regulation</u>. Admission shall take place through resettlement or humanitarian admission.
- 2. This <u>rRegulation</u> does not establish a right for third country nationals or stateless persons to be <u>resettled</u> admitted, or an obligation and participation by Member States to <u>resettle them.</u> in the implementation of this Regulation shall be voluntary.

29

choice of a legal instrument. **COM:** confident that a regulation is the best option because of its operational nature. **HU**: the legal basis and the choice of instrument are not appropriate.

DE: supports the idea of a Union Resettlement Framework but the legal form (Regulation) is questionable. A Directive would be the appropriate legal form or enhanced cooperation (Art. 326 TFEU). Participation in the resettlement scheme is voluntary and pledges might presumably decrease if MS are too much constricted. In addition, well-functioning national resettlement programmes might be impeded if there is no funding for those in the future. DE advocates to fund also national programs (AMIF). LT: supports DE regarding the

## Resettlement Definitions 30

For the purposes of this Regulation **the following definitions apply:** 

- 'resettlement' means the admission, following a referral from the United Nations High

  Commissioner for Refugees ('UNHCR'), of third-country nationals or stateless persons in need of international protection, and who fall within at least one of the categories in

  Article 5 (b), from a third country to which or within which they have been forcibly displaced to the territory of the Member States with a view to granting them and who are granted international protection.
- (2) 'humanitarian admission' means the admission of third-country nationals or stateless persons who are at least *prima facie* in need of international protection from a third country to which they have been forcibly displaced to the territory of the Member States and who are granted international protection.

<sup>2</sup> 

AT: reservation on the article; renumber the current para as (1) and add one more para as follows: "(2) 'Resettled person' means a person subject to the process of resettlement whereby, on a request from the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ('UNHCR') based on a person's need for international protection, third-country nationals are transferred from a third country and established in a Member State where they are permitted to reside with one of the following statuses:

<sup>(</sup>i) 'refugee status' within the meaning of point (e) of Article 2 of Directive 2011/95/EU; (ii) 'subsidiary protection status' within the meaning of point (g) of Article 2 of Directive 2011/95/EU." Delete text in Art 2 (q) of Dublin Regulation and make reference to it here; this proposal is the right place to define the aspects of resettlement. CZ, DE, FR, SE, SK: scrutiny reservation on the article. PT: reservation on the article.

## Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Framework

A Union Resettlement Framework is hereby established.<sup>31</sup>

It lays down rules on the resettlement of third-country nationals and stateless persons to the territory of the Member States.<sup>32</sup>

The Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Framework shall:<sup>33</sup>

- a) provide for the legal and safe arrival of third-country nationals **and or** stateless persons in need of international protection to the territory of the Member States<sup>34</sup>;
- aa) contribute to international resettlement initiatives;
- ab) contribute to alleviating the pressure on third countries in regions to which a large number of persons in need of international protection have been <u>forcibly</u> displaced;

\_

SE: important to keep the focus on resettlement as a tool for protection and a durable solutions for refugees, supported by protection considerations including vulnerability criteria. All Member States should contribute to the resettlement of refugees in a spirit of solidarity.

Moved to article 1. b)

FR, NL: delete "resettlement". Would welcome slightly more balance in the wording between the humanitarian protection element and the strategic use of this framework. Perhaps adding a first paragraph (a) "provide for international protection and a solution to eligible third country nationals and stateless persons who cannot return to their country of origin nor integrate in the country to which they have been displaced". This could stipulate the protection as well as the strategic element (relieving pressure in the region).

CZ, FR: modify this sentence as follows: "provide for *a durable solution, as well as* a the legal and safe arrival, *for* of third-country nationals and stateless persons in need of international protection to the territory of the Member States".

- b) contribute to the reduction of reducing the risk of a large-scale irregular inflow of third-country nationals and or stateless persons in need of international protection to the territory of the Member States.
- ba) contribute to reducing the risk of onward movements from the territory of the Member State to which a person has been resettled to the territory of another Member State.
- c) contribute to international resettlement initiatives.<sup>36</sup>

Regions or third countries from which resettlement or humanitarian admission is to occur<sup>37</sup>

- 1. In order to determine In determining the regions or third countries from which resettlement or humanitarian admission shall occur within the Union Resettlement Framework, in accordance with the implementing acts referred to in Articles 7 and 8, the following factors shall be taken into consideration:
  - a) taking into account the UNHCR Projected Global Resettlement Needs, the number of persons in need of international protection displaced to or within a third country; and any onward movement of those persons to the territory of the Member States<sup>38</sup>;
  - complementarity with financial and technical assistance provided to third countries to which or within which-persons in need of international protection have been forcibly displaced;<sup>39</sup>

7396/17 JNG/pf 18
ANNEX DGD 1B **LIMITE EN** 

<sup>35</sup> IT: add "in the long term" at the beginning of letter (b).

moved to aa)

DE, EL, FR, SE: scrutiny reservation on the article. DE: the title of Art. 4 should read as follows: "Determination of rRegions or third countries from which resettlement is to occur". Furthermore, MS may determine third countries from which resettlement is to occur independently.

EL: scrutiny reservation on the deletion in conjunction with the new point a) in para 2.

DE: does this mean that the different types of support shall be provided as a package? Or does this mean that states which benefit from one might not benefit from another type of support? Maybe further initiatives - like the EIP - could also be considered.

- c) the Union's overall relations with the third country or countries from which resettlement occurs, and with third countries in general;<sup>40</sup> and
- ca) the scale and content of commitments to resettlement undertaken by third countries. [former e)]
- d)  $[moved to 2.b]^{41}$
- 2. In addition to the factors referred to paragraph 1, the following factors may be taken into consideration:
  - a) the risk of onward movement of persons in need of international protection from a region or third country to the territory of the Member States; or <sup>42</sup>
  - **b)** [former d)] a third country's effective cooperation with the Union in the area of migration and asylum, including:
    - (i) reducing the number of third-country nationals **and or** stateless persons irregularly crossing the border into the territory of the Member States coming from that third country;
    - (ii) creating the conditions for the use of the first country of asylum and safe third country concepts for the return of asylum applicants who have irregularly crossed the border into the territory of the Member States coming from or having a connection with the third country concerned;<sup>43</sup>

**DE** (comment also valid for letter (d)): supports the establishment of a foreign-policy agenda tool. But humanitarian aspects should play an appropriate role when it comes to resettlement. What if there are urgent resettlement needs in a third country which is not in line with those preconditions? What if a third country only fulfils a certain part of the requirements?

EL: scrutiny reservation on the moving point d). If the effective cooperation of the third country remains an optional factor, how and in which cases could this be considered?

EL: scrutiny reservation.

DE: scrutiny reservation; the purpose of this provision should be clarified - this could be more detailed in the recitals. BE: reservation related to APR.

- (iii) <u>maintaining or</u> increasing the capacity for the reception and protection of persons in need of international protection staying in that country, including through the development of an effective asylum system; or<sup>44</sup>
- (iv) increasing the rate of readmission of third-country nationals and or stateless persons irregularly staying in the territory of the Member States such as through the conclusion and effective implementation of readmission agreements and the timely issuance of travel documents to facilitate returns.
- e) the scale and content of commitments to resettlement undertaken by third countries. [moved to 1.ca)]

\_

FR move this from (4) (d) (iii) to a new (4) (bb). Will read as follows: " (bb) the preservation or the increase of reception and protection capacities of third countries where persons in need of international protection have been displaced, in particular by setting up an efficient asylum system we want to further stress the importance of

preserving and increasing reception and protection capacities of third countries."
 IE: the language in relation to return and readmission could be strengthened in relation to the obligation of countries of origin to facilitate the readmission of their nationals who are found not to have a protection need.

# Eligibility for resettlement criteria 46

The following third-country nationals or stateless persons shall be eligible for <u>targeted a</u> Union <u>**FR**</u>esettlement <u>and Humanitarian Admission</u> <u>**sS**</u>chemes established in accordance with Article 8<sup>47</sup>:

- (a) (i) third-country nationals, who, owing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, political opinion or membership of a particular social group, are outside the country of nationality or the part of that country in which they formerly habitually resided, and are unable or, owing to such fear, are unwilling to avail themselves of the protection of that country, or stateless persons, who, being outside of the country of former habitual residence or of the part of that country in which they formerly habitually resided, for the same reasons as mentioned above, are unable or, owing to such fear, unwilling to return to or stay in it; or, failing that, 48
  - (ii) third-country nationals, who are outside the country of nationality-or the part of that country in which they formerly habitually resided, or stateless persons, who are outside of the country of former habitual residence-or of the part of that country in which they formerly habitually resided, and in respect of whom substantial grounds have been shown for believing that they, if returned to or staying in their country of origin or former habitual residence, would face a real risk of suffering serious harm as defined in Article 16 of Regulation (EU) XXX/XXX (Qualification Regulation), and are unable, or, owing to such risk, are-unwilling to avail themselves of the protection of that country; andor

DE, FI, HU, LT, PT, SE: scrutiny reservation on the article. FR, ES: reservation on the article; problematic aspects: IDPs, socio-economic vulnerability. SI: reservation, the cumulative nature of the criteria is not clear. NL, FR: suggest redrafting this article (see footnote 49 in previous version of this doc. 5332/17).

CZ: delete "established in accordance with Article 8". **DE:** the first sentence should be redrafted as follows: "The Notably the following third-country nationals or stateless persons shall be eligible for targeted Union resettlement schemes established in accordance with Article 8:":

PL: consistency should be ensured between points (i) and (ii) of letter (a) and of point (ii) of letter (b) with QR proposal.

- (ab) (i) third country nationals or stateless persons who are in their country of origin or of habitual residence but outside the part of that country in which they formerly habitually resided for the reasons mentioned in point (a) (i) and who for the same reasons are unable or, owing to such fear, unwilling to stay, return and go to another part of that country; or, failing that,
- (ii) third country nationals or stateless persons who are in their country of origin or of habitual residence but outside the part of that country in which they formerly habitually resided for the reasons mentioned in point (a) (ii) and who for the same reasons are unable or, owing to such risk, unwilling to stay, return and go to another part of that country; and
- (b) third-country nationals **and or** stateless persons who fall within at least one of the following categories <sup>49</sup>:
  - (i) vulnerable persons<sup>50</sup>:
    - persons with legal or physical protection needs <sup>51</sup>;
    - women and girls at risk;
    - minors ehildren and or adolescents at risk, including unaccompanied childrenminors;
    - survivors of violence and/or torture, including on the basis of gender;<sup>52</sup>

CZ, LT: make this part of letter (a) and redraft it as follows: "(b) Within third-country nationals and stateless persons mentioned above, preference shall be given to those who fall within at least one of the following categories:" SE: it is not clear how the various criteria relate to each other. This should be clarified redrafting as follows: "(b) provided that they fall within at least one of the following categories:".

IE: there should be a provision to refuse a serious medical case on the basis that the treatment sought is not available in a particular country due to pressure of other such cases or cost. FI: the heading could be improved, e.g. by adding "persons at risk in the country of refuge" before the words "vulnerable persons".

<sup>51</sup> **CZ:** delete.

CZ: delete. FI: redraft as follows; "persons in risk of being survivors of...".

- persons with legal and/or physical protection needs<sup>53</sup>
- persons with medical needs, in particular where life-saving treatment that is
   unavailable in the country to which they have been forcibly displaced; or
- persons with or disabilities<sup>54</sup>.; or
- persons with socio-economic vulnerability;
- (ii) family members of **the following** third-country nationals or stateless persons or <u>of</u>
  Union citizens **who are** legally residing in a Member State <u>and who do not have a</u>

  <u>right to family reunification in accordance with Union or national law</u>:<sup>55</sup>
  - the spouse or unmarried partner in a stable relationship, where the law or practice of the Member State concerned treats unmarried couples in a way comparable to that of married couples under its law relating to third-country nationals or stateless persons;
  - the minor children of couples referred to in the first indent or of third-country nationals or stateless persons to be resettled, on the condition that they are unmarried, regardless of whether they were born in or out of wedlock or adopted as defined under national law;

-

moved to 1st indent.

CZ: scrutiny reservation. EL: concerns regarding this subparagraph underlining the need for further clarification regarding the way that the resettlement framework and Directives 2004/38 / EC and 2003/86 / EC can complement each other. It is also noted that the definition of family members is not the same in all three points in 5 b (ii), i.e.

a) Family members of beneficiaries of international protection who reside in a MS

b) Family members of third-country nationals residing in a MS and

c) EU citizens' family members residing in another MS.

**IE:** scrutiny reservation on inclusion of family members of a TCN or stateless person or Union citizen residing in a Member State – this requires further consideration at national level.

- the father, mother or another adult responsible for the an unmarried minor to be resettled, whether by law or by the practice of the Member State where the adult is present;<sup>56</sup>
- the sibling or siblings of the third-country nationals or stateless persons to be resettled<sup>57</sup>;
- the child or parent on whom third-country nationals or stateless persons to be resettled who are dependent on their child or parent for assistance as a result of pregnancy, a new-born child, serious illness, severe disability or old age. This applies provided that family ties existed in the country of origin, that the child or parent is able to take care of the dependent person, and that the persons concerned expressed their desire in writing.

DE: "whether by law or by the practice of the Member State where the adult is present" - does this relate to the adult responsible? BG: reservation, how can it be proved the adult is responsible?

CZ, SK: redraft as follows: "the sibling or siblings *who are minors* of the third-country nationals or stateless persons to be resettled;"

CZ: what other categories are these? They seem to be covered by previous indents and should be deleted.

DE: "provided that family ties existed in the country of origin" - scrutiny reservation; "is able to take care of the dependant person" - what does this mean? financial care?; "the persons concerned" - is this the donor or the person to be resettled?; "desire" - whose desire? The desire of the donor to support the person to be resettled or the desire of the person to be resettled (to be indeed resettled)?

- (c) third country nationals or stateless persons who do not fall within the scope of Article 1D of the 1951 Geneva Convention, relating to protection or assistance from organs or agencies of the United Nations other than the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ("UNHCR");<sup>60</sup>
- (d) third-country nationals or stateless persons who have not been recognised by the competent authorities of the country in which they are present or have taken up residence as having the rights and obligations which are attached to the possession of the nationality of that country, or rights and obligations equivalent to those.<sup>61</sup>

Member States shall ensure that family unity ean be <u>is</u> maintained between persons referred to in point (b)(ii)<sup>62</sup>.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>60</sup> moved to Article 6.

<sup>61</sup> moved to Article 6

SK: problematic to adhere to this part of the provision if the conditions in point a) should be met. Not every family member might be in need of international protection. Resettlement should not function as a tool for family reunification if the persons themselves will not be eligible for international protection.

# Grounds for exclusion from resettlement and humanitarian admission<sup>63</sup>

- The following third-country nationals or stateless persons shall be excluded from <u>a targeted</u>
   Union <u>FR</u>esettlement <u>and Humanitarian Admission sS</u>chemes established in accordance with Article 8<sup>64</sup>:
  - (-a) persons falling within the scope of Article 1(D) of the Geneva Convention, relating to protection or assistance from organs or agencies of the United Nations other than the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees., unless the Member State examining the resettlement file ascertains that the persons concerned were forced to leave their area of operation due to a situation where their personal safety was at serious risk and such organs or agencies were unable to ensure their minimum living conditions in accordance with their mandate;

NL: following redrafting is suggested: "The following third-country nationals or stateless persons shall be excluded from *ineligible for* targeted Union resettlement schemes established in accordance with Article 78: LT: a new sub-paragraph should be added to para (1) stating that persons who fail to cooperate with MS in order to verify their identity and to check their eligibility should be excluded from resettlement.

AT: reservation on the article. **DE, FR, SE:** scrutiny reservation. **IE:** the mechanism for cooperation and information exchange between Member States, under paragraph 1, is not established. This should be clearly set out in the text. A legal basis is required for the exchange of data which is envisaged here. If this is the Eurodac Regulation, then this should be clearly set out in the provisions. **FI, FR, NL, SK:** replace "exclusion" with "ineligibility" in the title. **PL:** modify the title as follows: "*Grounds for refusal*" or "*Grounds for ineligibility*", merge the article with Art 9, add the following new ground for refusal: "(g) persons who have already been relocated or refused the relocation procedure." **SE:** the term exclusion has a distinct meaning in the context of international refugee protection that relates to individuals who may be undeserving of international protection. An alternative approach (as proposed by UNHCR) could be to make reference in the proposed regulation to grounds for ineligibility rather than exclusion. **FR** (supported by **BE**): prefers "grounds for refusal".

- (-aa) persons recognised by the competent authorities of the country in which they have taken up residence as having the rights and obligations which are attached to the possession of the nationality of that country, or equivalent rights and obligations;
- (a) persons for whom there are reasonable grounds for considering that:
  - they have committed a crime against peace, a war crime, or a crime against humanity, as defined in the international instruments drawn up to make provision in respect of such crimes;
  - (ii) they have committed a serious crime;
  - (iii) they have been guilty of acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations as set out in the Preamble and Articles 1 and 2 of the Charter of the United Nations:

This point also applies to persons who incite or otherwise participate in the commission of the crimes or acts mentioned therein.

(b) persons for whom there are reasonable grounds for considering that they are a danger to the community, public policy, security, public health or the international relations of the Member State examining the resettlement file.

This also applies including where when a second-Member State has which has having required the Member State examining the resettlement admission file to be consulted it that second Member State during the examination in relation to specific third-country nationals or stateless persons, or specific categories of third-country nationals or stateless persons, that second Member State has objected to their resettlement admission on these the grounds referred to in this point;

- (c) persons for whom an alert has been issued in the Schengen Information System or in a national database of a Member State for the purposes of refusing entry;
- (d) persons who have irregularly stayed, irregularly entered, or attempted to irregularly enter the territory of the Member States during the five years prior to resettlementadmission;<sup>65</sup>
- (e) persons who have already been **resettled admitted** by another Member State in the implementation of this Regulation, the Conclusions of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States meeting within the Council 11097/15 of 20 July 2015, the EU-Turkey Statement of 18 March 2016, the Commission Recommendation C(2015) 9490 of 15 December 2015, or a national resettlement scheme **if that person was granted international protection**; and
- (f) persons whom Member States have during the last five years prior to **resettlement** admission refused to **resettle-admit** in accordance with this paragraph<sup>66</sup>; and
- (g) persons who during the last five years prior to resettlement have not given or have withdrawn their consent to be resettled to a particular Member State in accordance with Article 9<sup>67</sup>; and

EL: scrutiny reservation on (d). IT: (d), (e) and (f) require a very close exchange of information between MS. How will this take place in practice? LV (supported by EE, ES): exchange of information is very important; the consultation between MS should be consultation under Visa Code or another type of consultation system? How will a MS know that another MS refused/resettled a person? SE: if there will not be a possibility to reconsider cases within such a long time frame it may limit UNHCR's ability to find protection and solutions for refugees who are in most need. However, a five-year rule may be necessary in rejected cases due to security reasons, but not as a general rule.

FI: should be deleted. In some cases the ground for refusal could be a lack of some rare medical equipment and although one MS had to refuse, another MS could well accept the case.

<sup>67</sup> *moved to 2 (b).* 

- (h) persons who have been granted international protection by Member States.
- 2. Third-country nationals or stateless persons may be excluded from <u>targeted a</u> Union <u>rR</u>esettlement <u>and Humanitarian Admission S</u>schemes established in accordance with Article 8, where:
  - (a) one of the grounds for exclusion referred to in points (a) or (b) of paragraph 1 of this Article applies *prima facie*, or; 68
  - (b) persons who during the last five years prior to admission have not given or have withdrawn their consent to be admitted to a particular Member State in accordance with Article 6a.

<sup>68</sup> **AT** (supported by **BE**, **CZ**): make this a "shall" clause. Add one more para as follows: "(3) Third-country nationals or stateless persons may be excluded from targeted Union resettlement schemes established in accordance with Article 8, where there are other duly justified circumstances to be excluded from Resettlement to a Member State." DE: replace "may" with "shall"; delete "established in accordance with Article 8". NL (supported by FI, FR, SK): replace "excluded" with "considered ineligible". Concerns with regard to (slightly) altering the wording/definitions of the existing CEAS acquis, because this inevitably raises questions and will cause uncertainty as to the interpretation. It is important to keep the wording absolutely clear and unequivocal. This is best done by either referring to the relevant articles of the QR (art.12 and 18), or copying the exact wording thereof. As far as this article is supposed to differentiate from the QR (less stringent) this should be explicitly mentioned and added in a separate phrase to the wording. It seems as though paragraph (2) partly overcomes this. NL also proposes to add before paragraph (2) the following sentence: "In case of substantial exceptional individual circumstances Member States may deviate from invoking point (d) or (f)". This allows for flexibility based in individual cases. Add the following para (3): "Third-country nationals or stateless persons may be considered ineligible for resettlement or humanitarian admission on other reasonable grounds pertaining to the individual circumstances of the case. Participating States shall not be required to give the reasons for not accepting to resettle or admit a third country national or a stateless person on their territory."

# <u>Article 6a<sup>69</sup></u>

## Consent<sup>70</sup>

The <u>resettlement</u> procedures laid down in Articles 10 <u>and 10a</u> and 11 shall apply to third-country nationals or stateless persons who have given their consent to be <u>resettled admitted</u> and have not subsequently withdrawn their consent, including refusing <u>resettlement admission</u> to a particular Member State.<sup>71</sup>

Failure to provide biometric data or information essential to conduct the procedures as laid down in Articles 10 and 10a, or failure to attend a personal interview, shall be considered as an implicit withdrawal of consent, unless the third-country national or stateless person can demonstrate that his or her failure was due to circumstances beyond his or her control.

<sup>69</sup> moved from Article 9.

DE, ES: add a second sentence drafted as follows: "Third-country nationals or stateless persons who refused to be resettled or admitted to a particular Member State shall no longer be eligible for resettlement to any Member State. Information about this consequence has to be provided in advance." NL: draft article as follows: "Resettlement under this framework shall apply to third-country nationals or stateless persons who have given their consent to be resettled. Third country nationals or stateless persons who have subsequently withdrawn their consent, including refusing resettlement to a particular Member State are disbarred from resettlement under this Framework." SK: Consent should be broadened to include a consent of third-country nationals or stateless persons to actively participate in integration activities. This should be linked to Article 6, where a new para should be added to allow MS to reject resettlement for another well founded reasons, especially in cases where candidates insist that they will not integrate.

IE: the need for the resettlement candidate to give his/her consent to be resettled is an important part of the process. The intention of the second part of the sentence is less clear. If the intention is to reinforce that a candidate cannot choose his/her preferred Member State for resettlement, then this needs to be more clearly set out in the text. We suggest making this into two separate sentences as essentially there are two separate points here. PL: see comment on Art 6.

# Annual-Union rResettlement and Humanitarian Admission Pplan<sup>72</sup>

72 AT, CZ, LT: reservation. AT, CZ, FR, NL, LT: combine Art 7 and 8 in order to have one technical procedure in place before starting resettlement, on the basis of a proposal from the Commission with an adoption of the Council. CZ: the legal form of this instrument is unclear. BG, FI, FR, IE, PL: scrutiny reservation DE: general scrutiny reservation regarding the institutional structure of the proposal. We see a need for discussion if this regulation with the referral of competences to the Commission will, in fact, help promoting resettlement or not. We see a need for discussion relating to the procedure, for example Art. 7 (2) and Art. 8 (2). How can be reassured that participation remains voluntary? Involvement in adopting the implementing act should be different with reference to participating EU MS and not participating EU MS. No decision should be taken against a participating EU MS. IE: the sequencing of the Articles 7, 8 and 13 should be set out to reflect their position in the chronology of the decision-making structure proposed. The voluntary nature of the scheme should be explicitly mentioned in Article 7. The scheme should have in-built flexibility to reflect the voluntary nature and to incentivise the participation of Member States. NL: suggests an additional paragraph: "3. Within the Union Plan participating States may also carry out resettlements or humanitarian admissions from areas other than those designated as priority areas, in particular by responding to calls for emergency resettlement of individuals by UNHCR. These resettlements or humanitarian admissions will be deducted from their total commitments under the Union Plan". Add also the definition of emergency resettlement to Art.2: "(c) 'Emergency resettlement and humanitarian admission' means resettlement or humanitarian admission of individual 'emergency' and 'urgent' cases submitted by UNHCR to ensure security of refugees who are threatened with refoulement to their country of origin or those whose physical safety is seriously threatened in the country where they have sought refuge." SE: important that the planning for EU resettlement is aligned to the extent possible with that of UNHCR. It is also important to strengthen the predictability component of the Framework.

- On the basis of a proposal from the Commission, the Council shall adopt an annual two-year
   Union relation relation relation to the commission relation relation to the search proposal from the Council shall adopt an annual two-year
   Union relation relation relation relationship relationshi
- 2. The annual Union FResettlement and Humanitarian Admission PPlan shall include 73:
  - (a) the maximum total number of persons to be resettled admitted <sup>74</sup>, indicating, where relevant, what part of that number shall be dedicated to the resettlement admission of persons with urgent legal or physical protection needs;
  - (b) details about the participation of the Member States in the annual Union resettlement plan therein and their contributions to the maximum total number of persons to be resettled admitted as indicated in the High Level Resettlement Committee set out in Article 13;<sup>75</sup>
  - (c) overall geographical priorities.<sup>76</sup>

-

NL: delete "resettlement".

NL: add "or to benefit from humanitarian admission based on national procedures;"

CZ: "details about the participation" is a very vague terminology and should be specified; add "maximum" before "number". NL: redraft as follows: "(b) details about the participation of the Member States in the annual Union resettlement plan and their maximum contributions to the maximum total number of persons to be resettled and/or to benefit from humanitarian admission based on national procedures."

DE, SK: add a last sentence after letter (c) under para (2) drafted as follows: "The annual Union resettlement plan serves as a recommendation."

# Targeted Union rResettlement and Humanitarian Admission sSchemes<sup>77</sup>

- 1. The Commission shall adopt implementing acts establishing **targeted**-Union **r**Resettlement **and Humanitarian Admission s**Schemes consistent with the **annual** Union **r**Resettlement **and Humanitarian Admission p**Plan adopted pursuant to Article 7. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 15(2).
- 2. A targeted Union FResettlement and Humanitarian Admission Scheme shall include at least:
  - (a) a detailed justification for the targeted Union targeted Union
  - the <u>precise</u> <u>maximum</u> number of persons to be <u>resettled</u> <u>admitted</u> from the maximum total number as set out in the <u>annual-Union rResettlement and Humanitarian</u>

    <u>Admission pP</u>lan provided for in point (a) of Article 7(2); <u>indicating</u>, <u>where relevant</u>, <u>what part of that number shall be dedicated to the resettlement of persons with urgent legal or physical protection needs</u>; and

\_

AT, CZ, ES, FR, LT: reservation. IE, IT, PL, SE: scrutiny reservation. DE: delete Art 8. Why should the Commission adopt implementing acts? How can be ensured that participating MS have more influence than not participating EU MS?. FI, SE: need to highlight the issue of the possibility of including a specific emergency quota on a dossier basis within the union resettlement schemes that is not linked to priority regions or populations. In SE a quarter of national resettlement quota is set aside for un-earmarked places. It should be possible to benefit from EU-funding for emergency-places also under the new Framework.

- (bb) details about the participation of Member States and their contribution to the

  maximum total number of persons to be admitted as indicated in the High Level

  Resettlement Committee set out in Article 13in the targeted Union resettlement

  scheme: 78
- (bc) where relevant, whether the Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Scheme will take the form of resettlement or humanitarian admission;
- (c) the specification of the regions or third countries from which resettlement <u>or</u> <u>humanitarian admission</u> is to occur as referred to in Article 4;
- (d) where necessary, local coordination and practical cooperation arrangements among Member States contributing to a targeted-Union rResettlement and Humanitarian Admission sScheme, supported by the [European Union Agency for Asylum] in accordance with Article 12(32), and with third countries, and UNHCR or other relevant partners;
- (e) a description of the specific group or groups of third-country nationals or stateless persons to whom the **targeted** Union **F**Resettlement **and Humanitarian Admission sS**cheme shall apply; <sup>79</sup>

-

**BG:** reservation. **IT, SK:** delete this letter. **NL:** replace "participation" with "voluntary commitments".

CZ, SK: what is the relationship of letter (e) to Article 5 on eligibility criteria? All persons to be resettled shall fall within eligibility criteria.

- (f) whether the ordinary procedure set out in Article 10 or the expedited procedure set out in Article 11 shall be applied where necessary, a specificationying where necessary of how the identification and assessment of third-country nationals or stateless persons shall be carried out, and the timeframe for taking decisions reaching conclusions on resettlementadmission<sup>80</sup>;
- (g) the date on which the **targeted** Union **r**Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission **s**Scheme shall take effect and its duration.

#### Consent

The resettlement procedures laid down in Articles 10 and 11 shall apply to third-country nationals or stateless persons who have given their consent to be resettled and have not subsequently withdrawn their consent, including refusing resettlement to a particular Member State.

IE: scrutiny reservation moved to Article 6a.

## Article $10^{82}$

## Ordinary Resettlement procedure

1. When implementing the Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Plan -a targeted Union resettlement scheme, Member States shall identify<sup>83</sup> third-country nationals or stateless persons and assess whether those third country nationals or stateless persons fall within the scope of a targeted-Union resettlement and Humanitarian Admission section.

Member States may give preference *inter alia* to third-country nationals or stateless persons with<sup>84</sup>:

- (a) family links with third-country nationals or stateless persons or Union citizens legally residing in a Member State<sup>85</sup>;
- (b) social or cultural links, or other characteristics that can facilitate integration in the participating Member State, provided that this is without discrimination based on any ground such as sex, race, colour, ethnic or social origin, genetic features, language, religion or belief, political or any other opinion, membership of a national minority, property, birth, disability, age or sexual orientation, without prejudice to differences in treatment necessary for the assessment referred to in the first subparagraph;

-

DE, ES, FI, FR, IE, SE, SI: scrutiny reservation. IE: the Article does not set out the potential for security assessments within the procedure, this would be considered essential. PT: reservation.

FI, LU: need to reinforce the role of UNHCR. FR: Role of UNHCR should be explicitly put here. The sentence should read as follows: "When implementing a targeted Union resettlement scheme plan, Member States, with the help of the UNHCR, shall identify third-country nationals or stateless persons and assess whether those third country nationals or stateless persons fall within the scope of a targeted the Union resettlement scheme plan.

EL: need to ensure that it will not be possible to reject persons who comply with eligibility but not covered by a), b) and c).

CZ: delete (due to duplication with Art. 5). EL: scrutiny reservation, linked to article 5 b) ii). FI: We have a connection with Art. 5, needs to be clarified, maybe remote family members can be left out.

- (c) particular protection needs or vulnerabilities 86.
- 1a. For the purpose of implementing the ordinary procedure, prior to identifying third-country nationals or stateless persons, Member States may shall first request UNHCR, or where applicable, [the European Union Agency for Asylum] or relevant another international bodyies to refer to them third-country nationals or stateless persons in relation to whom those entities UNHCR have fully assessed whether they fall:
  - (a) whether they <u>fall</u> within the scope of the <u>targeted</u> Union <u>rR</u>esettlement <u>and</u> <u>Humanitarian Admission</u> <u>sS</u>cheme; <u>and</u>
  - (b) whether they <u>fall</u> under one of the vulnerability categories set out in point (b)(i) of Article 5; and.
  - (c) Member States may also request UNHCR to fully assess whether third-country nationals or stateless persons referred to them by UNHCR qualify as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the 1951 Geneva Convention.

Member States may also request that inter alia the criteria set out in points (a) to (c) of paragraph 1 be taken into account.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> **CZ:** delete due to duplication with Art. 5.

- 2. After identifying **and assessing** third-country nationals or stateless persons **in accordance** with paragraph 1, Member States shall register<sup>87</sup> the following information of those for whom they intend to conduct the resettlement procedure:
  - (a) the name, date of birth, gender, nationality; and other personal details
  - (b) the fingerprints of all fingers and a facial image of every third-country national or stateless person of at least six years of age;
  - (c) the type and number of any identity or travel document of the third-country national or stateless person; and
  - (d) the date of the registration, the place where the registration is made, and the authority making the registration.

Additional data necessary for the implementation of paragraphs 3 and 4 may also be collected at the time of registration **registered**.<sup>88</sup>

\_

Inclusion of this data in a database currently being examined in the framework of the Eurodac regulation.

IT: the order of paragraph 2 and 3 should be inverted. The assessment on eligibility criteria and exclusion causes is necessary before the registration of potential beneficiaries. Otherwise, we risk to register cases which could never be eligible, increasing the administrative burden and creating false expectations. CZ, PT: doubts with the order in this article. Only when you have a favourable decision then you can register this information.

- 3. Member States shall assess whether the third-country nationals or the stateless persons referred to in paragraph 2 meet the eligibility criteria set out in Article 5 and whether they are not excluded in accordance with Article 6(1).
  - Member States shall make that assessment on the basis of documentary evidence, including, where applicable, information from UNHCR on whether the third-country nationals or the stateless persons qualify as refugees, or on the basis of a personal interview, or a combination of both.<sup>89</sup>
- 4. Member States shall take a decision reach a conclusion on the resettlement admission of third-country nationals or stateless persons on the basis of the assessment referred to in paragraph 3<sup>90</sup> as soon as possible and not later than eight months <sup>91</sup> from their registration <sup>92</sup>. Member States may extend that time-limit of eight months by a period of not more than four months, where complex issues of fact or law are involved <sup>93</sup>.

By way of derogation from the first sub-paragraph, where the Commission implementing act establishing a targeted-Union rResettlement and Humanitarian Admission sScheme provides for a shorter time-limit in accordance with Article 8 (2) (f), Member States shall reach a conclusion on the resettlement admission of third-country nationals or stateless persons within that time-limit 94.

**CZ, IE:** scrutiny reservation.

**ES:** scrutiny reservation. How it links to Article 5? **IT:** Move to para 2.

IT: replace by "paragraph 2" in line with suggested change of order of paragraph 2 and 3.

FR: what is the purpose of having time-limits for assessing resettlement cases, as there are no rights associated to them?

AT: what does it mean? registration in the MS or by UNHCR in the country of departure? SE, IT: replace "registration" by "referral". The resettlement procedure starts from the referral. What if the registration is delayed by the MS?

AT: delete the following sentence with the binding deadlines "(...) as soon as possible and not later than eight months from their registration. Member States may extend that time limit of eight months by a period of not more than four months, where complex issues of fact or law are involved." BG: scrutiny reservation, external factors have impact in the process even in time limits, more analysis is needed. SK: add "Deciding on resettlement may not need to take a form of administrative procedure".

- 5. Member States shall store the data referred to in paragraphs 2 to 4 for five ten<sup>95</sup> years from the date of resettlementadmission. In the case of persons who have not been resettled admitted the data referred to in paragraphs 2 to 4 shall be stored for a period of five years from the date of registration.
  - Upon expiry of **the applicable** that period, **the** Member States shall erase the data. Member States shall erase **the** data relating to a person who has acquired citizenship of any Member State before the expiry of that period as soon as the Member States becomes aware that the person concerned has acquired such citizenship<sup>96</sup>.
- 6. Where all the conclusion referred to in paragraph 4 is negative decision is taken, no resettlement admission of the person concerned shall occur. This shall not be subject to a remedy before a Court or Tribunal.
- 7. Where If the conclusion referred to in paragraph 4 is a positive decision is taken, the Member State shall<sup>97</sup>:

\_

**IE:** scrutiny reservation, in IE the data are not erased, therefore this solution risks creating a problem with the national programme. **SI:** scrutiny reservation on deadlines.

ES: reservation about storage of data. Difficult to know when acquires citizenship. FR: scrutiny reservation, as it is unclear whether such a provision is required by EU law.

IE: scrutiny reservation on 10.7 and 10.8. It is an important distinction to note that resettled refugees under national programme are considered as 'programme refugees' rather than 'Convention refugees', for example they are not automatically entitled to Convention travel documents. It is an equivalent status which is granted. This scheme should not create any negative impacts on national resettlement programmes. SE: scrutiny reservation.

(a) grant refugee status where the third-country national or the stateless person concerned qualifies as a refugee, or subsidiary protection status where the third-country national or the stateless person concerned is eligible for subsidiary protection. The Member State shall notify the third-country national or the stateless person concerned of that its decision. This The decision to grant refugee status or subsidiary protection status shall have the same effect as a decision to grant refugee status or to grant subsidiary protection status referred to in Articles 13 and or 19 of Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX [Qualification Regulation], once the person concerned has entered the territory of a Member State 100:

98 **DE:** add "The Member State can as well grant a comparable national status".

**AT, FR, HU:** suggest adding a sentence (see footnote 117 previous doc. 5332/17): **IE:** scrutiny reservation. The assessment under the ordinary procedure will already have taken place prior to arrival. This should not give rise to the possibility of a legal remedy once the person has entered the territory of the MS. **LV**: scrutiny reservation. **SE:** scrutiny reservation. The basis of resettlement as a durable solution is that states are expected to provide resettled persons with permanent residence. In favour of promoting a system that enables the issuance of permanent residence permits.

AT, FI: delete this sentence. BG: granting before arrival should not be the procedure, only when enters the territory and the administrative body has a reasoned decision. CZ, HU, SK: add "Member States may deliver the decision on international protection after the arrival of the person concerned to the territory of the respective Member State". FR: No desirable to grant status before arrival, we don't have extraterritorial rights, need to do it at the time they arrive. IT add ", once the person concerned has entered the territory of a Member State".

- (aa) make every effort to ensure entry to its territory not later than twelve months from the date of the conclusion;
- (b) <sup>101</sup>offer to make travel arrangements, including fit-to-travel medical checks, and provide transfer to their territory free of charge <sup>102</sup>., and tThat offer shall include, where necessary, the facilitation of exit procedures in the third country from where the third-country national or the stateless person is admitted-resettled;
- (c) when considered feasible <sup>103</sup>, offer a pre-departure orientation programme <sup>104</sup> to third-country nationals or stateless persons, which may include information about their rights and obligations, language classes <sup>105</sup>, and information about the Member State's social, cultural and political set-up <sup>106</sup>.
- 8. For the purpose of implementing the ordinary procedure, prior to identifying third-country nationals or stateless persons, Member States may request UNHCR, or where applicable, [the European Union Agency for Asylum] or relevant international bodies to refer to them third-country nationals or stateless persons in relation to whom those entities have fully assessed:
  - (a) whether they fall within the scope of the targeted Union resettlement scheme; and
  - (b) whether they fall under one of the vulnerability categories set out in point (b)(i) of Article 5.

106

**AT:** add "where necessary", maybe it's not always needed.

AT: delete "free of charge", if they have money and can contribute.

AT: "where necessary", maybe it's not always needed. FR: "to the extent possible".

DE, SE: delete "pre-departure", programmes after entry should also be possible. SE: as cultural orientation in some cases may not be possible to carry out pre-departure

HU: delete "language classes".

SE, DE: add "Generally this programme should be offered previous to departure within available resources". HR: proposes that after the wording "third-country nationals or stateless persons" the following is inserted: "where feasible" and that the wording "language classes" is deleted. Language classes should be an obligation only after the resettlement.

IE: useful but not compulsory. The level of detail is excessive. LV: scrutiny reservation on the whole point c). SI: need more flexibility. Orientation programmes can be offered when they arrive to the MS.

Member States may also request UNHCR to fully assess whether third-country nationals or stateless persons referred to them by UNHCR qualify as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the 1951 Geneva Convention.

Member States may also request that inter alia the criteria set out in points (a) to (c) of paragraph 1 be taken into account. <sup>107</sup>

- 8a. By way of derogation from paragraph 3 of this Article, Member States may decide not to assess whether the third-country nationals or the stateless persons meet the requirements referred to in points (a)(i) and (ab)(i) of Article 5, where this is necessary for the following reasons:
  - (a) to comply with the time-limits set out in the Commission implementing act establishing a targeted Union resettlement scheme; or
  - (b) to respond to urgent legal or physical protection needs of third-country nationals or stateless persons referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article.
    - In this case Member States shall, if the conclusion referred to in paragraph 4 is positive, grant them subsidiary protection status. This status shall be considered terminated where a final decision has been taken on an application for international protection made by the beneficiary of this status.
- 9. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 14 to supplement the elements referred to in paragraphs

  1 to 4 of this Article, in order to adapt the resettlement procedure to the circumstances in the third country from which resettlement takes place where necessary.

moved to point 1a.

### Article 10a

# **Humanitarian Admission**

# By way of derogation from:

- (1) Article 5, third-country nationals or stateless persons shall also be eligible for the Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Scheme established in accordance with Article 8, where the eligibility criteria referred to in point (a) of Article 5 apply prima facie and without having to fall within at least one of the categories referred to in point (b) of Article 5;
- (2) Article 10 for the purpose of identifying third-country nationals or stateless persons,

  Member States may also request [the European Union Agency for Asylum] or another
  relevant international body to refer to them third-country nationals or stateless persons
  in relation to whom those entities have fully assessed whether they fall within the scope
  of the Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Scheme.

### Expedited procedure

Where the Commission implementing act adopting a targeted Union resettlement scheme provides for an expedited procedure, and by way of derogation from Article 10, Member States:

- shall not assess whether the third-country nationals or the stateless persons meet the requirements referred to in point (a)(i) of Article 5;
- (2) shall not require UNHCR to assess whether the third country nationals or the stateless persons qualify as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the 1951 Geneva Convention:
- (3) shall take a decision on resettlement as soon as possible and not later than four months from a third-country national's registration referred to in Article 10(2); the Member States may extend that time limit of four months by a period of not more than two months, where complex issues of fact or law are involved.
- (4) shall grant the third country nationals or the stateless persons concerned subsidiary protection status.

The subsidiary protection status granted on the basis of point (4) shall be considered to have been terminated where a final decision has been taken on an application for international protection made by the beneficiary of that status.

# *Article* 12<sup>108</sup>

# Operational cooperation 109

- To facilitate the implementation of the targeted-Union Resettlement and Humanitarian
   Admission sSchemes, Member States shall appoint national contact points and may decide to appoint liaison officers in third countries.
- 2. [The European Union Agency for Asylum] may support Member States upon their request in accordance with Article 10a (1a2), or where provided for in a targeted-Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Scheme in accordance with Article 8 (2)(ed). This support may include, Member States, including by coordinating technical cooperation between them Member States, assisting them Member States in the implementation of targeted-Union resettlement and Humanitarian Admission sections. and facilitating the sharing of infrastructure and cooperation with third countries in accordance with [Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX (EU Asylum Agency Regulation)<sup>110</sup>]. 111
- 3. To implement **targeted**-Union **FR**esettlement **and Humanitarian Admission sS**chemes, and in particular to conduct pre-departure orientation programmes, fit-to-travel medical checks, travel arrangements and other practical arrangements, Member States may be assisted by **relevant** partners in accordance with local coordination and practical cooperation arrangements for **targeted** Union **FR**esettlement **and Humanitarian Admission sS**chemes established in accordance with point (d) of Article 8(2)<sup>112</sup>.

SE: scrutiny reservation, need to coordinate with EUAA Regulation. Underlining the importance of that the EUAA will not duplicate the work carried out by UNHCR and IOM.

SK: not clear from the text how cooperation will be organised. A decision by the Commission? MS will work with a partner?

OJ L [...], [...], p. [...].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> **BG, CZ:** scrutiny reservation.

**DE:** delete "established in accordance with point (d) of Article 8(2)."

# Article 13<sup>113</sup>

### High-Level Resettlement Committee

- 1. A High-Level Resettlement Committee shall be established, composed of representatives of the European Parliament, the Council, the Commission, the High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy, and the Member States. [The European Union Agency for Asylum,] UNHCR<sup>114</sup>, and IOM, and other relevant organisations may be invited. Representatives of Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway, and Switzerland shall be invited to attend the meetings of the High-Level Resettlement Committee where they have indicated their intention to be associated with the implementation of the annual-Union FResettlement and Humanitarian Admission PPlan.
- 2. The High-Level Resettlement Committee shall be chaired by the Commission. It shall meet whenever necessary at the invitation of the Commission or at a request of a Member State and shall meet at least once a year.
- 3. The Commission shall consult the High-Level Resettlement Committee on issues related to the implementation of the Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Framework The Commission shall invite Member States to indicate at the meeting of the High-Level Resettlement Committee the details of their participation and of their contribution to the maximum total number of persons to be resettled in the Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Plan and in the Union Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Schemes respectively.

AT: against creating additional layers. The Committee should be responsible for political issues.

NL: against creating additional layers. What is the added value of the Committee?. CZ, DE, SE: scrutiny reservation. IE: the Committee should be involved in the development of the Annual Resettlement Plan, not just in the implementation. Their role and specific tasks should be more clearly defined within this article. ES: the tasks of the Committee are only listed in a recital and not in the body of the text. Would like to have more explained functions. HU: need more clearer role for the Committee.

DE, SE: EUAA and UNHCR should regularly be invited. FR, ES: UNHCR should be full member. SE: also notes the existing EU Resettlement and Relocation Forum, in which UNHCR, IOM and EUAA generally take part. On an international level this is provided for by the ATCR to which all resettlement states and organisations participate.

### Exercise of the delegation

- 1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.
- 2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 10(9) shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period of time five years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.
- 3. The delegation of power referred to in Article 10(9) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.
- 4. Before adopting a delegated act, the Commission shall consult experts designated by each Member State in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016<sup>116</sup>.
- 5. As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council.

OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Article 10(9) shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by another two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

### Article 15<sup>117</sup>

#### Committee procedure

- 1. The Commission shall be assisted by a committee. That committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.
- 2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.

Where the committee delivers no opinion, the Commission shall <u>not</u> adopt the draft implementing act and the third subparagraph of Article 5(4) of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.

#### Article 16

#### Association with Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway, and Switzerland

Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway, and Switzerland shall be invited to be associated with the implementation of the annual Union **r**Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission **p**Plan. The core elements of this Regulation, in particular those related to the **resettlement** procedures laid down in Articles 10 and 10a and to the rights and obligations of **resettled** admitted persons, shall be duly taken into account in that association.

DE: scrutiny reservation. FR: delete the Article.

# Amendments to Regulation (EU) No 516/2014<sup>118</sup>

Regulation (EU) No 516/2014 is amended as follows:

- [(1) in Article 1, point (d) of paragraph 2 is deleted.
- (2) Article 2 is amended as follows:
  - a) point (a) is replaced by the following:

'(a)'resettlement' means the admission of third-country nationals or stateless persons in need of international protection from a third country to which or within which they have been displaced to the territory of one of the Member States with a view to granting them international protection'; <sup>119</sup>

DE: national programmes should also continue to be eligible for EU funding. Secondary movements need to be taken into account when giving the money, i.e. only if the person resettled still lives in the country after a period of e.g. 24 months. SE: scrutiny reservation. Supports DE, important that national programs are not jeopardised. SI: reservation. BE, NL: important to finance also national programmes, e.g. giving 6 000 EUR for the national programs. FI, FR, UK: finance also national programmes.

EE, EL, ES, IE, FR, PL, PT: scrutiny reservation because it includes IDP. FR: In conformity with our working proposal in Article 2 it should read as follows: "points (a) and (b) is are replaced by the following: (a) 'resettlement' means the admission of third country nationals and stateless persons in need of international protection from a third country to which or within which they have been displaced to the territory of the Member States with a view to granting them international protection. upon referral from the UNHCR the transfer of third country nationals and stateless persons in need of international protection from a third country to which they have been displaced to the territory of a Member State that has agreed to admit them, with a view of granting them a status provided in the Qualification Regulation or an equivalent status; (b) 'other forms of humanitarian admission' means the admission of third-country nationals or stateless persons in need of international protection from a third country to which or within which they have been displaced through a process other than resettlement within the meaning of this Regulation, based on national procedures."

- b) the following points (aa) and (ab) are inserted <sup>120</sup>:
  - '(aa) 'Union Resettlement Framework' means the Union Resettlement Framework established in accordance with [Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX (Resettlement Framework Regulation)];
  - (ab) 'targeted Union resettlement scheme' means a targeted Union resettlement scheme established in accordance with Article 15(2) of [Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX (Resettlement Framework Regulation)]'.]
- (3) Article 17 is replaced by the following:

Framework Regulation)]'.

'Article 17

Resources for the Union Resettlement Framework

accordance with Article 157(2) of [Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX (Resettlement

7396/17 JNG/pf 51 ANNEX DGD 1B **LIMITE EN** 

FR: Consistent with the proposal to delete reference to the targeted scheme, draft as follows: "(b) the following points (aba) and (abb) are inserted: '(aba) 'Union Resettlement Framework' means the Union Resettlement Framework established in accordance with [Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX (Resettlement Framework Regulation)]; (abb) 'targeted biannual Union resettlement scheme plan for resettlement and other forms of humanitarian admission' means a targeted bi-annual Union resettlement scheme plan established in

- In addition to their allocation calculated in accordance with point (a) of Article 15(1), Member States shall receive for each resettled person in accordance with a targeted Union resettlement scheme a lump sum of EUR 10,000 <sup>121</sup>.
- 2. The amount referred to in paragraph 1<sup>122</sup> shall be allocated to the Member States<sup>123</sup> in the individual financing decisions approving their revised national programme in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 14 of Regulation (EU) 514/2014.
- 3. Each Member States to whom an amount referred to in paragraph 1 has been allocated shall include in the annual accounts provided for in Article 39 **of Regulation (EU)**514/2014<sup>124</sup> the number of persons qualifying for the amount. Transfers of this amount to other actions under the national programme shall not be possible unless explicitly approved by the Commission through a revision of the national programme. <sup>125</sup>

FR: Drafting suggestion: "1. In addition to their allocation calculated in accordance with point (a) of Article 15(1), Member States shall receive every two years an additional amount as set out in point (b) of Article 15(2) based on a lump sum of EUR 6 000 for each person benefitting from resettlement or another form of humanitarian admission.

1a. The lump sum referred to in paragraph 1 shall be increased to EUR 10 000 receive for each resettled person benefitting from resettlement or another form of humanitarian admission in accordance with a targeted bi-annual Union resettlement scheme plan for resettlement and other forms of humanitarian admission a lump sum of EUR 10,000.

1b. Where appropriate, Member States may also be eligible for lump sums for family members of persons referred to in paragraph 1a, provided that those family members have benefitted from resettlement or another form of humanitarian admission in accordance with this Regulation."

FR: should read "paragraphs 1 to 1b", consistent with previous comment.

FR: add "at least every two years". This would allow for a better visibility and would facilitate the financial organisation.

OJ L 150, 20.5.2014, p. 112.

AT: prefers a separate payment for resettlement. Suggests the following text: "3. Each Member States to whom an amount referred to in paragraph 1 has been allocated shall include in the annual accounts provided for in Article 39 submit the number of persons qualifying for the amount together with the other necessary information for the annual accounts, but the Resettlement - payment is no part of the regular annual accounts regarding the annual programs of the member states. Regarding Resettlement, there will be a separate payment from the European Commission to the Member States. Transfers of this amount to other actions under the national programme shall not be possible unless explicitly approved by the Commission through a revision of the national programme".

- 4. Member States shall keep the information necessary to allow the proper identification of the resettled persons and of the date of their resettlement.
- 5. Allocations made before [date of entry into force of [Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX (Resettlement Framework Regulation)] shall not be affected.'
- [(4) the term 'Union Resettlement Programme' is replaced by the term 'Union Resettlement Framework'.
- (5) Annex III is deleted.]

### Evaluation and Review

- By 31 December 2018 two years after the entry into force of this Regulation the
  Commission shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application of this
  Regulation in the Member States.
- 2. [Member States shall provide the Commission and [the European Union Agency for Asylum]<sup>126</sup> with the necessary information for drawing up its report for the purpose of paragraph 1 in addition to the information provided to [the European Union Agency for Asylum] on the number of third-country nationals and or stateless persons effectively admitted resettled on a weekly<sup>127</sup> basis as laid down in Article 22(3) of [Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXX (Dublin Regulation)]].<sup>128</sup>

CZ: delete reference to EUAA. According to para 1 the Commission has the obligation to report on the application and not EUAA.

AT, DE, FR, IT, NL, LT: prefer "monthly" rather than "weekly". CZ: this time limits should be in brackets and modified following agreement in the Dublin Regulation proposal.

CZ, PL: scrutiny reservation. Alternatively the whole paragraph should be deleted due to duplicity with the Dublin Regulation proposal. ES: scrutiny reservation.

3. The European Parliament and the Council shall, on the basis of the proposal of the Commission, taking into account the report referred to in paragraph 1, review this Regulation within two years of the submission of the report by the Commission by 30 June 2020.

#### Article 19

# Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the [...] day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in the Member States in accordance with the Treaties.

Done at Brussels,

For the European Parliament For the Council
The President The President