CONFIDENTIAL

WEST YORKSHIRE POLICE

REVIEW FOR
USE AND CONDUCT OF UNDERCO
and
PARTICIPATING STATUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DET 1/2008</th>
<th>National Public Order Intelligence Unit, [NPOIU]</th>
<th>Intelligence Unit</th>
<th>Operation PEGASUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detective Inspector [REDACTED]</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Intelligence Update and Proposed Strategy Changes

Progress since last Authorisation/Review
(Include the following details)

1) Do the criteria for authorisation continue to be met?
2) State every respect in which the information in the previous application has changed.
3) Detail why it is necessary to continue with the authorisation(s).
4) Detail the justification for the participation of the UCO and/or CHIS.
5) Detail the value to the investigation or operation of the product obtained so far.
6) Proposed strategy (in consultation with the Specialist Operations Unit).
This use and conduct was authorised by ACC Sampson on the 29th January 2007 and renewed by Acting ACC John Parkinson on the 22nd January 2008.

This review is submitted in order to update the Authorising Officer on the progress of the operation since its last review at the end of April 2008.

**Do the criteria for authorisation continue to be met?**
The Operation is still relevant and all criteria detailed in the authority to deploy are still met.

**State every respect in which the information in the previous application has changed.**

**Detail why it is necessary to continue with the authorisation.**

See Also Appendix ‘A’

**Detail the justification for the participation of the UCO**

UCO 133 is currently authorised to participate in minor crime, minor criminal damage, obstruction and trespass. It is this participation status that has allowed UCO 133 to develop his legend amongst activists and get to the position of trust which allows them to have access to particularly accurate pre-emptive intelligence. Participation also protects their safety by removing suspicion from them.

During the past 2 months UCO 133 had assisted in the following direct actions:

On Friday 13th June 2008 UCO 133 took part in a demonstration against the use of fossil fuels close to Drax power station in Yorkshire. The UCO took a very minor role in driving some of the 30 activists involved to the scene and then leaving. He was not involved in any criminal offences on the railway. Pre-emptive intelligence was passed to the British Transport Police together with real time intelligence enabling them to assess the dangers and consider suitable actions to reduce those risks. They were also able to direct resources from around the country in order to deploy the correct numbers of suitably trained officers to the scene in quick time.

29 activists were arrested currently on police bail whilst the investigation continues, 15 homes searched and 20 computers seized.
Detail the value to the investigation or operation of the product obtained so far.

Strategy remains the same as that detailed in the renewal of this authority dated 28th January 2008, namely:

- To continue to develop their legend through attendance at meetings, actions, demonstrations and social events.
- Where possible to identify evidential opportunities to effect the arrest and prosecution of those members of groups who seek to hijack legitimate protest to commit acts of criminality and public disorder.
- Where evidential opportunities are not available, to provide pre-emptive intelligence to prevent or disrupt those members of the above groups intending to perpetrate acts of criminality and/or public disorder.
**CONFIDENTIAL**

2. **Collateral Intrusion**

Comment upon any collateral intrusion and state method adopted to minimise the same:

It is very rare for collateral intrusion to occur because _____ spends the majority of their time with like-minded people engaged in activism. 

_____ does have contact with ‘non activists’ (neighbours etc) which is unavoidable whilst appearing to live a normal life but no product from these contacts is reported or retained.

_____ is an experienced UCO well aware of their unique situation in the lives of members of the public and remains entirely focussed on the objectives and subjects of the authority.

The SIO and operational team will through a process of continuous review seek to minimise such intrusion.

Should Information of this type come into Police possession, it will be dealt with in a secure manner in accordance with current CPIA and MOPI guidelines.

3. **Confidential Material**

Where there is a likelihood that "confidential material" will be accessed and/or acquired in the course of deployment, or where such material has been accessed or acquired, give details.

There is little likelihood of acquisition of confidential information as a result of this authority at this time.

4. **Risk Assessment**

Any change from original risk assessment must be detailed.

---

Signed: 

Print Name: 

Rank: 

Date: 

DS: 

-
Over the next few weeks, the UCO will be deployed in providing intelligence on those within the Climate Change campaign intent on committing criminal activities. The UCO will then take a two month annual leave break in January as part of the welfare strategy in managing the continued deployment of the UCO as previously documented.

The operation continues to be proportionate and necessary providing quality intelligence on groups planning serious criminal activity which affects the United Kingdom.
REVIEW FOR
USE AND CONDUCT OF UNDERCOV
and
PARTICIPATING STATU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>National Public Order Intelligence Unit. [NPOIU]</th>
<th>Intelligence &amp; Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detective Inspector</td>
<td>Operation Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Operation PEGASUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Intelligence Update and Proposed Strategy Changes

Progress since last Authorisation / Review

Include the following details:

1. Do the criteria for authorisation continue to be met?
2. State every respect in which the information in the previous application has changed.
3. Detail why it is necessary to continue with the authorisation(s).
4. Detail the justification for the participation of the UCO and/or CHIS.
5. Detail the value to the investigation or operation of the product obtained so far.
6. Proposed strategy (in consultation with the Specialist Operations Unit).
This use and conduct was authorised by ACC Sampson on the 29th January 2007 and renewed by Acting ACC John Parkinson on the 22nd January 2008.

This review is submitted in order to update the Authorising Officer on the progress of the operation to date and to add Sandra Gobels and Julien Coupat to the subjects authorised for specific infiltration by the UCO and Operation Pegasus.

**Do the criteria for authorisation continue to be met?**

The Operation is still relevant and all criteria detailed in the authority to deploy are still met.

**State every respect in which the information in the previous application has changed.**

Other than the intelligence / information on Sandra Gobels contained in this review there are no changes to the information contained in the renewal of January 2008.

**Detail why it is necessary to continue with the authorisation.**

**Detail the justification for the participation of the UCO.**

_is currently authorised to participate in minor crime, minor criminal damage, obstruction and trespass. It is this participation status that has allowed to 'climb up the activist ladder' and get to the position of trust which allows them to have access to particularly accurate preemptive intelligence. Participation also protects their safety by removing suspicion from them._
The long term strategy remains the same as that detailed in the renewal of this authority dated 29th January 2008, namely:

- To continue to develop their legend through attendance at meetings, actions, demonstrations and social events.
- Where possible to identify evidential opportunities to effect the arrest and prosecution of those members of groups who seek to hijack legitimate protest to commit acts of criminality and public disorder.
- Where evidential opportunities are not available, to provide pre-emptive intelligence to prevent or disrupt those members of the above groups intending to perpetrate acts of criminality and/or public disorder.
2. Collateral Intrusion

Comment upon any collateral intrusion and state method adopted to minimise the same

It is very rare for collateral intrusion to occur because...pends the majority of their time with like minded people engaged in activism. Does have contact with 'non activists' (neighbours etc) which is unavoidable whilst appearing to live a "normal" life but no product from these contacts is reported or retained. An experienced UCO well aware of their unique situation in the lives of members of the public and remains entirely focussed on the objectives and subjects of the authority.

The SIO and operational team will through a process of continuous review seek to minimise such intrusion.

Should information of this type come into Police possession, it will be dealt with in a secure manner in accordance with current CPIA and MOPI guidelines.

Confidential Material

Where there is a likelihood that "confidential material" will be accessed and/or acquired in the course of deployment; or where such material has been accessed or acquired. Give details.

There is little likelihood of acquisition of confidential information as a result of this authority at this time.

Risk Assessment

Any change from original risk assessment must be detailed.

Signed:

Print Name: Date: 24/11/08
CONFIDENTIAL

I note the comments made by [redacted], note Mr. Sottell.

I am satisfied that the use of conduct authority within the parameters contained in this report remains both proportionate and necessary.

Any the conditions relating to this authority should be reviewed in their entirety following the completion of the investigation review by [redacted].

This review should take place prior to the completion of the V/D's period of leave and which I understand to be as the end of August. I would require such review to take place no later than six weeks from the date of this report.

I will write separately to note Mr. Sottell in relation to the appearance at the Ad respondent test ли myself.

I agree with the comments made by [redacted] that for reasons of effective oversight and that the Ad should be geographically proximate to [redacted].

Signed: [Signature]

Print Name: John Sanguin

Date/Time: 18/7/08 15:20 h.

Next Review/ Renewal Date: Six weeks
Assistant Chief Constable

I have considered the application as I have been formally instructed to do so.

I remain satisfied that we are right in the portrayal which
I consider necessary for the purpose.

I believe that the danger to manage the trust is severe.

I also believe that the trust is severe.

At the next review I would not be able to

Chief Constables Authority (Confidential Material)

Signed:             Date/Time: 24/04/08 1623

Print Name: John Sawyer   Date/Time:               23 July 08

Next Review/ Renewal Date: 23 July 08
I have considered these papers and have been directed to content by [Redacted].

The need for the appointment of another and the proportionality of their detail remains.

There have been a number of significant changes to the operation, which when taken together, in my view merit a decision to be taken. All these matters being subject to authorisation had been [Redacted].

The UCIN was having a welfare break until for 2 months, which [Redacted], the time in which to consider future staff strategies.

One minor participative forum to report, namely [Redacted] included a transport plan proposed to a gallery. This subsequently became [Redacted].

No other risk issues or conduct discretions reported.

Signed: [Redacted]

Rank: [Redacted]

Print Name: [Redacted]

Date: 10-07-09
This operation [deployment is focused on key areas of domestic extremism which I can say sit in the priority area of DE for England and Wales and without this asset in place, our intelligence picture would be significantly reduced].

I recommend that the DE group with our colleagues, and I would seek to replace this asset sit in the priority areas of DE for England and Wales and without this asset in place, our intelligence picture would be significantly reduced.

This operation [deployment is focused on key areas of domestic extremism which I can say sit in the priority areas of DE for England and Wales and without this asset in place, our intelligence picture would be significantly reduced].

I recommend that the DE group with our colleagues, and I would seek to replace this asset sit in the priority areas of DE for England and Wales and without this asset in place, our intelligence picture would be significantly reduced.

I have today been briefed by this operation [deployment is focused on key areas of domestic extremism which I can say sit in the priority areas of DE for England and Wales and without this asset in place, our intelligence picture would be significantly reduced].

I recommend that the DE group with our colleagues, and I would seek to replace this asset sit in the priority areas of DE for England and Wales and without this asset in place, our intelligence picture would be significantly reduced.

I have today been briefed by this operation [deployment is focused on key areas of domestic extremism which I can say sit in the priority areas of DE for England and Wales and without this asset in place, our intelligence picture would be significantly reduced].

I recommend that the DE group with our colleagues, and I would seek to replace this asset sit in the priority areas of DE for England and Wales and without this asset in place, our intelligence picture would be significantly reduced.
**CONFIDENTIAL**

**Minute 2**

This CO is providing excellent proactive intelligence. This advice is proportionate and necessary to in light of recent anarchist groups. Over the next few months, __ will

In relation to his welfare and safety issues, I have

I will be looking to give the UCo a substantial annual leave period due to the extension beyond 5 years in this deployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signed:</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Detective Inspector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Print Name:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>___________</td>
<td>18.4.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Minute 3**

To be completed by the Director of Intelligence.

I have considered these papers and have been briefed as to their contents by ____, ___.

No confidential material released.

There is no activity referred which falls outside of the parameters of your authority. (19)

Signed: ___________  Rank: ___________

Print Name: ___________  Date: 24.4.08

CONFIDENTIAL
Confidential

NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE REPORT (Form A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANISATION and OFFICER</th>
<th>NPOIU</th>
<th>DATE/TIME OF REPORT</th>
<th>June 9th 2008 1230</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTEL SOURCE or INTEL REF NO. (I&amp;S/R.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>REPORT U.R.N.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE EVALUATION**

- A Always Reliable
- B Mostly Reliable
- C Sometimes Reliable
- D Unreliable
- E Untested Source

**INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION**

- 1 Known to be true without reservation
- 2 Known personally to the source but not to the officer
- 3 Not known personally to the source, but corroborated
- 4 Cannot be judged
- 5 Suspected to be false

**HANDLING CODE**

To be completed at time of entry. To be filled in by an Intelligence system and reviewed on dissemination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERMISSIONS</th>
<th>RESTRICTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 May be disseminated to other law enforcement and prosecuting agencies, including law enforcement within the EEA and EU compatible (No Code or Conditions)</td>
<td>1 Disseminated Intelligence Recieving ancy to observe conditions as specified below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 May be disseminated to UK non prosecuting parties (Code 3.7 conditions apply)</td>
<td>3 Only disseminate within originating agency/force. Specify internal recipient(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 May be disseminated to non EEA law enforcement agencies (Code 4.7 and/or conditions apply, specify below)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REPORT**

**OPERATION NAME/NUMBER** PEGASUS

**NIM Level** 2

1. Intelligence states that ___ and ___ intend targeting the coal yard at Goole that supplies coal to Drax Power Station. The action will take place Friday 13th June 2008. They intend to prevent a train load of coal getting to Drax. They intend to ‘lock on’ to the train whilst it is stationary at the coal yard.

Source Comment:

Only 3 people plus Source are aware of this action.

Handler Comment:

Suggest letting this one happen.

Considerations,

- No public disorder situation, Action will be on private land
- Minor role for Source
- Source protection
- Could be a test prior to involving Source in actions connected to Climate Camp where the threat to the public is greater.

**PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY SHOULD BE SOUGHT: YES**

**DISSEMINATION TO**

(Is the handling code correct? If there are conditions on the receiving agency’s use of the material, assign the relevant code.)

**RISK ASSESSMENT FORM C COMPLETED? NO**

Record location of Form C (When Completed)

Handling Codes 2, 3 or 57. Conditions apply No

**DETAILED HANDLING CONDITIONS**

Time and date conditions agreed between originator and recipient (if applicable).
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE REPORT (Form A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANISATION and OFFICER</th>
<th>NPOIU</th>
<th>DATE/TIME OF REPORT</th>
<th>10/08/2008 1700</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTEL SOURCE or INTEL REF No. (I.S.R.)</td>
<td>REPORT U.R.N.</td>
<td>2008/019481</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE EVALUATION</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always Reliable</td>
<td>Mostly Reliable</td>
<td>Sometimes Reliable</td>
<td>Unreliable</td>
<td>Untested Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Known to be true without reservation</td>
<td>Known personally to the source but not the officer</td>
<td>Not known personally to the source, but corroborated</td>
<td>Cannot be judged</td>
<td>Suspected to be false</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERMISSIONS</th>
<th>RESTRICTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To be completed at time of entry into an intelligence system and reviewed on dissemination</td>
<td>May be disseminated to other law enforcement and prosecuting agencies, including law enforcement within the EEA, and EU compatible (No Code or Conditions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May be disseminated to UK non prosecuting parties (Code 3.7 conditions apply)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May be disseminated to non EEA law enforcement agencies (Code 4.7 and/or conditions apply, specify below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only disseminate within originating agency/force. Specify internal recipient(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disseminated Intelligence Receiving agency to observe conditions as specified below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>OPERACION NAME/NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRAIN FROM GOOLE TO DRAX POWER STATION PROTEST</td>
<td>NIM Level 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intelligence suggests that in the next few days (from 10/06/2008) activists are looking to target a train travelling from Goole to Drax Power Station.

They intend to protest peacefully but may obstruct the train.

NPOIU Comment
There are no further details at this time.

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY SHOULD BE SOUGHT; YES

DISSEMINATION TO: [Redacted] (conditions on the receiving agency's use of the material, assign the relevant code.)

RISK ASSESSMENT FORM C COMPLETED? NO

Record location of Form C (When Completed)
Handling Codes 2, 3 or 5? Conditions apply? Yes:- Discussed with originator and documented below

DETAILLED HANDLING CONDITIONS
NO PRE-EMPTIVE ACTION TO BE TAKEN W/O CONSULTATION WITH ORIGINATOR
Time and date conditions agreed between originator and recipient (if applicable).
CONFIDENTIAL

5x5x5 Information Intelligence Report Form A

ORGANISATION AND OFFICER | 
| 
| 
DATE/TIME OF REPORT | 12/06/08 12:24 |

INFORMATION/INTELLIGENCE SOURCE/INTELLIGENCE SOURCE REF NO. (ISR) | 
| 
REPORT URN | 2008/006539 |

SOURCE AND INFORMATION/INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION TO BE COMPLETED BY SUBMITTING OFFICER

| SOURCE EVALUATION | A | Always Reliable |
| | B | Mostly Reliable |
| | C | Sometimes Reliable |
| | D | Unreliable |
| | E | Untested Source |

INFORMATION/INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION

| 1 | Known to be true without reservation |
| 2 | Known personally to the source but not to the person reporting |
| 3 | Not known personally to the source, but corroborated |
| 4 | Cannot be judged |
| 5 | Suspected to be false |

REPORT

PERSON RECORD:

DoB: | NIB CRO: |

OPERATION NAME/NUMBER: | 
| 
| S | I | H |

With regard to the planned environmentalist action during 13th and 14th of June 2008:

It is believed that the target of the action will be a coal site and that thirty to forty persons may be involved all of whom are prepared to be arrested.

INTELLIGENCE UNIT ONLY

HANDLING CODE

To be completed by the evaluator on receipt and prior to entry onto the intelligence system. To be reviewed on dissemination.

| 1 | Default: Permits dissemination within the UK police service and to other law enforcement agencies as specified [see guidance] |
| 2 | Permits dissemination to UK non-prosecuting parties [conditions apply see guidance] |
| 3 | Permits dissemination to (non EU) foreign law enforcement agencies [conditions apply see guidance] |
| 4 | Permits dissemination within originating force/agency only: specify reasons and internal recipient(s) Review period must be set [see guidance] |
| 5 | Permits dissemination but receiving agency to observe conditions as specified [see guidance on risk assessment] |

5x5x5 REVIEWED BY: | CROSS-REF URN: | TIME/DATE OF REVIEW: |

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY: Yes | PERSON DISSEMINATING TIME/DATE: 1330 12/06/2008 |

DISSEMINATED TO: NPOIU |

DETAILED HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS: No further dissemination without reference to author

CONFIDENTIAL
**CONFIDENTIAL**

### 5x5x5 Information Intelligence Report Form A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANISATION AND OFFICER</th>
<th>DATE/TIME OF REPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12/06/08 12:24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INFORMATION INTELLIGENCE SOURCE/INTELLIGENCE SOURCE REF NO. (ISR)</th>
<th>REPORT URN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008/006538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE AND INFORMATION/INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION TO BE COMPLETED BY SUBMITTING OFFICER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE/EVALUATION</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INFORMATION INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION</td>
<td>Always Reliable</td>
<td>Mostly Reliable</td>
<td>Sometimes Reliable</td>
<td>Unreliable</td>
<td>Untested Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Known to be true without reservation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Known personally to the source but not to the person reporting</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not known personally to the source, but corroborated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PERSON RECORD:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPERATION NAME/NUMBER</th>
<th>DoB:</th>
<th>NIB CRO:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**With regard to the planned environmentalist action during 13th and 14th of June 2008.**

One of the main organizers of the event is believed to be [REDACTED].

**Officers comment**

[REDACTED]

**INTELLIGENCE UNIT ONLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HANDLING CODE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Default: Permits dissemination within the UK police service and to other law enforcement agencies as specified [see guidance]</td>
<td>Permits dissemination to UK non-prosecuting parties [conditions apply – see guidance]</td>
<td>Permits dissemination within originating force/agency only: specify reasons and internal recipient(s)</td>
<td>Permits dissemination to non-EU foreign law enforcement agencies [conditions apply – see guidance]</td>
<td>Permits dissemination of non-EU foreign law enforcement agencies [conditions apply – see guidance]</td>
<td>Permits dissemination to receiving agency to observe conditions as specified [see guidance on risk assessment]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6x5x5 REVIEWED BY:**

**CROSS-REF URN:**

**TIME/DATE OF REVIEW:**

**PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY:** Yes

**PERSON DISSEMINATING TIME/DATA:** 1330 12/06/2008

**DISSEMINATED TO:** NPOIU

**DETAILED HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS:** No further dissemination without reference to author

**CONFIDENTIAL**
**NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE REPORT (Form A)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANISATION and OFFICER</th>
<th>NPOIU</th>
<th>DATE/TIME OF REPORT</th>
<th>15th June 2008 2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTEL SOURCE or INTEL REF N° (I.R.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>REPORT U.R.N.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE EVALUATION</th>
<th>SOURCE EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. <strong>Always Reliable</strong></td>
<td>2. <strong>Known personally to the source but not to the officer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. <strong>Mostly Reliable</strong></td>
<td>3. <strong>Not known personally to the source, but corroborated</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. <strong>Sometimes Reliable</strong></td>
<td>4. <strong>Cannot be judged</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. <strong>Unreliable</strong></td>
<td>5. <strong>Suspected to be false</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. <strong>Untested Source</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION</th>
<th>INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Known to be true without reservation</strong></td>
<td>2. <strong>Known personally to the source but not to the officer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Not known personally to the source, but corroborated</strong></td>
<td>4. <strong>Cannot be judged</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <strong>Suspected to be false</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HANDLING CODE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HANDLING CODE</th>
<th>HANDLING CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To be completed at time of entry into an Intelligence system and reviewed on dissemination</td>
<td>May be disseminated to other law enforcement and prosecuting agencies, including law enforcement within the EEA, and EU compatible (No. Code or Conditions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May be disseminated to UK non prosecuting parties (Code 3.7 conditions apply)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May be disseminated to non EEA law enforcement agencies (Code 4.7 and/or conditions apply, specify below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only disseminate within originating agency/forces. Specify internal recipient(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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**REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>Fossil fuel protests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLAGGED?</td>
<td>??? Whose Flagged ???</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OPERATION NAME/NUMBER - PEGASUS**
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<th>PEGASUS</th>
<th>NIM Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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<td></td>
<td>5 1 H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Intelligence states that when the activists met in Goole North Yorkshire for a briefing on Thursday night 12th June / Friday morning the action against the coal train to Drax power station 2 activists dropped out because it had not been made clear that it was a direct action and they thought it was just a protest. Another person called from the Midlands said that he did not realise that they would have to stay on the barge overnight and he volunteered to do Legal representative instead. He asked all the activists to write their names on a piece of paper with addresses and contact details. The other activists did not like this and made them suspicious of him. They pointed out to him that if the police found the piece of paper it would support any charge of a conspiracy.

Source Comment

This was not the first time that had fallen under suspicion.

**PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY SHOULD BE SOUGHT: YES**

(Is the handling code correct? If there are conditions on the receiving agency's use of the material, assign the relevant code.)

**RISK ASSESSMENT FORM C COMPLETED? NO**

Record location of Form C (When Completed)

Handling Codes 2, 3 or 57. Conditions apply No ???

**DETAILED HANDLING CONDITIONS**

Time and date conditions agreed between originator and recipient (if applicable).
The items contained in this report are items of intelligence past verbally in real time to officers of North Yorkshire Police.

1. Intelligence states that activists are about to stop a train from entering Drax power station. They are currently near Gowdall. There is a second van dropping others off on the other side of the river. 40 people in total. They have red flags and all have orange tabards. It is all about safety. Past 0809 hrs.

2. Intelligence states that there are 2 rope access people who will put ropes across the rail line above the track and suspend themselves in harnesses to make it difficult for the police.
   Past 0829.

3. Intelligence states that the activists intend to undo the coal carriages underneath to let the coal out onto the track. They are not sure if you need a special key or not. Past at 0822.

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY SHOULD BE SOUGHT: YES

Confidential

1
4. Intelligence states that the activists have Arm Tubes made of solid steal and they will be clipped on inside with carabineers. Past 0829.

5. Intelligence states that the media have been told and there is a professional photographer there who is on side with the activists and has access to mainstream media. Past at 0829.

6. Intelligence states that the activists have done a lot of research into rail safety. They have completed a full risk assessment which they intend to show the court to show that they are not reckless people but are pursuing a genuine claim concerning climate change. They briefed everyone that this was not an action against the police and that there should be no resistance but that they had a message to send. Past at 0829.

7. Intelligence states that activists arrived from all over the UK and the briefing went on til 0200 this morning it was meticulous. Past 0829.

8. Intelligence states that 2 activists dropped out saying that they did not realise it was a direct action and thought it was just a protest. Past to NPOIU 16th June.

9. Intelligence states that one activist called [redacted] backed out saying he would do legal advice instead. He was not happy about being kept on the barge overnight and thought they would be returning later to do the action. He asked for everyone’s names to be written on a piece of paper with next of kin, addresses and contact details. This made some people suspicious of him saying that they were not happy with him holding all their names in case the police got hold of it and used it to prove a conspiracy. He was supported by a tall white guy from the West Midlands with a shaved head who said that he trusted [redacted] Past to the NPOIU 16th June 2008.

10. Intelligence states that the action must have been funded separately because those taking part would not have had the funds. There was all new climbing equipment including a whole roll of rope (£400) and new harneses and fittings. 40 new reflective coats flags, buckets and shovels. Hiring of vehicles and the barge to stay on overnight. Past to the NPOIU 16th June 2008.

11. Intelligence states that in connection with the stopping of train at Drax power station The activists were split into cells with only those involved in a specific role would have been given details like the rope access men would have recce’d the bridge to know what equipment they needed. The majority of the foot soldiers did not know the venue of the action until they arrived at Goole. No one was even told where the accommodation was until they got to the Goole area.
1106
Call from Source
Discussed plan for tomorrow and the need for me to be updated asap.

1420
Call from Source who has hired a Renault Traffic Van NV57 FYA from Eurocar. £250 deposit because Source did not have a credit card.

I discussed with Source the dangers of anyone going on the tracks and our responsibility to them as to duty of care. Including to the driver of the train.

All people involved are switched on and very safety conscious.

1615
Call from DI Hutcheson re arrangements to meet with Supt Wilson and CI Flood.

1645
Meeting place and time confirmed with DI Hutcheson.

1820
Call from Source who is staying on a barge at Goole Maritime Museum. People from all over the country are expected including Manchester and London. Says they can sleep 30 on the barge.
Source has offered to cook to give Source the chance to get out and make contact. Those present are saying that it is unlikely that they will make it to wedding. They accept that Source has to go as Source is delivering the wedding marquees.

1830
DI Hutcheson updated.

1843
Call from DI Hutcheson who has spoken to ACC Pavey who instructs us to contact Supt Phil Trendle with updates.
I am to pass info to DI Hutcheson in the first instance.

2000
Text from Source
‘Aire railway bridge’

2005
I updated DI Hutcheson.
2015
Map research.
The river Aire runs under the rail line to Drax.

2130 (approx)
Call from DS Trimble BTP SB. Long discussion about the fact that it now appears to be 30 people and could it be that the action Source is on is a diversion for a bigger action elsewhere and could it be that they will go early and take action overnight or would they delay until Saturday as per the original intelligence.

I told DS Trimble that it was my assessment that they would not deploy overnight and that they would stick to the 0630 plan. That I now believed the Intelligence about 30 people doing an action on Saturday must be the same job as this one and that the original Source had got the day wrong.
I said that it was unlikely that the activists would arrive an extra 24 hours early because it increased the risk of discovery.

Friday 13th June 2008

0625
Text from DI Hutcheson
'Any news'

I text back 'No not yet'

0706
I text DS Trimble that I had no update as yet.

0809
Call from Source
1. Its going down now, I have just dropped them off near Gowdall there is a second van dropping others off on the other side of the river. 40 people in total. They have red flags and all have orange tabards. It is all about safety.

I immediately briefed Supt Trendle, DS Trimble and DI Hutcheson. Supt Trendle said that they would wait until it had been reported by a rail worker or member of the public before reacting.

0822
Call from Source
2. There are 2 rope access people who will be putting ropes across the rail line above the track and suspending themselves from them in harnesses to make it difficult for the police.

3. They intend to undo the coal carriages underneath to let the coal out onto the track. They are not sure if you need a special key or not.

4. They have Arm Tubes made of solid steal and the will be clipped on inside with carabineers.

5. The media have been told and there is a professional photographer there who is on side with the activists and has access to mainstream media.

6. They have done a lot of research into rail safety. They have completed a full risk assessment which they intend to show the court to show that they are not reckless people but are pursuing a genuine claim concerning climate change. They briefed everyone that this was not an action against the police and that there should be no resistance but that they had a message to send.

7. People have arrived from all over and the briefing went on till 0200 this morning. It was meticulous.

0829
I briefed Superintendent Phil Trendle on 1 to 7 above. And confirmed that the train had been stopped. Supt said that they had already received several calls reporting the stopping of the train. Superintendent Trendle said that it had been an excellent briefing. I told the Supt that Source was away from the scene now.

I then updated DI Hutcheson and DS Trimble.

0845
I called Source and updated them re local reaction.

0949
Call from Source but Source could not hear me. Source repeated these calls but could not hear me. We both tested our phones resulting in it being a fault on my phone.

1045
I contacted Source from a back up phone.
2 activists dropped out saying that they did not realise it was a direct action and thought it was just a protest.

One bloke called [REDACTED] backed out saying he would do legal advice instead. He was not happy about being kept on the barge overnight and thought they would be returning later to do the action. He asked for everyone’s names to be written on a piece of paper with next of kin, addresses and contact details. This made some people suspicious of him saying that they were not happy with him holding all their names in case the police got hold of it and used it to prove a conspiracy. He was supported by a tall white guy from the West Midlands with a shaved head who said that he trusted [REDACTED]

1220
Call from Source. There has been some confusion over the earth first meeting in Nottingham next Monday. Some people thought it was Tuesday. Source has told them that it is still going ahead on Monday. **Remember tasking re GM crops action in Leeds and Cambridge.**

Today’s action must have been funded separately because those taking part would not have had the funds. There was a lot of new climbing equipment including a whole roll of rope (£400) and new harnesses and fittings. 40 new reflective coats, flags, buckets and shovels. Hiring of vehicles and the barge to stay on overnight. Approx £2000 plus

The taskings were split into cells with only those involved in a specific roll would have been given details like the rope access men would have recce’d the bridge to know what equipment they needed. The majority of the foot soldiers did not know the venue of the action until they arrived at Goole. No one was even told where the accommodation was until they got to the Goole area.

1230
DI Hutcheson updated.

Instructed to put 5x5 on re Halpin visiting Julien at his Farm.

1345
Call from Source who is on the way to: [REDACTED] wedding with the marquees. Will be staying at Hope Valley Peak District. No actions or meetings planned for the weekend.

1810
Call from Source who is now in the Hope Valley where the telephone signal is very poor. Source will be with others tonight but just social prior to the wedding.
Engaged with D/Supt Young

1250
Completed

1400
Engaged with Source and D/Supt Wilson

1545
Completed

1700
Engaged with Source.

Who organised the Drax train action?

Sources opinion.

There was a small group that organised it, who would have been able to draw funds from Greenpeace. GP have definitely got an interest in fossil fuels and coal mining and as such have an interest in supporting protest against.

who delivered all the briefings prior to the action and would have done the risk assessments and had the maps etc.

and to some extent would have been in the inner sanctum.

They have sponsored to research the open cast coal mining industry in the UK. Source heard this talked about at the Earth First meeting last week.

has been invited to an open cast mining meeting being held by activists in Leeds in July.

Friday 20th June 2008

0630
Ma accounts and receipts and list of jobs to do.

0855
Call from Source who is now really stressed with the situation with Vodafone. Source has received another bill saying that we owe £3000 they have clearly not
prior to leaving Leeds, I met [redacted] at a cafe near the city centre. He hinted that he had had a person "over the fence" from prison recently staying at his home. We also discussed the forthcoming hearing application for the Dukin festival.

On Wednesday 6th June of 2008, I received the concise instructions for contact with Susan Sebok, Harry Helpful, and Sabby.

Saturday 7th June 2008

I attended the Climate Camp meeting in Oxford at the East Oxford Social Centre on the Cowley Rd. The first part of the meeting was taken up covering old ground and talking about process issues. I met Max Gardner and [redacted] at the gathering.

There were about 35 people present at the meeting representing groups from around the country.

Decision was made on the Saturday night to allow NSO's on to the site as long as they don't recruit. Decision made to hold the next meeting in London on 12/13 July. This is to coincide with a demonstration against The Guardian Climate Change Summit on 14.30ish at 52 Upper St, N1 (leaflet). A decision was also made to continue protesting against the fossil fuel industry, as a rolling, split blockade. This may include a coup in the future but will certainly be direct action focused.

I approached an asked if I would drive for an action Thursday night Friday morning about 200 miles north of Nottingham. It required me to hit...
a 12 Var. I agreed to take part in this action in a driving capacity. Harry Helpin arrived and met me with Mac Carter at the James P.H James St. He explained he had just been to Trumal for an IT related job interview. He felt sure he had the job when involved him living in NYC and commuting to Pens. The job is with MIT and is internet based.

Evening spent at the housing co-op... Sunday 2nd June 2008.

Climate camp meeting discussed 2 proposals for mass action.

Proposal One: To have anumber of blocks on the day of action. Orange kids, yellow: Media friendly spectacles. Causes an attempt to break the force of land by land.

Propose one attempt to bridge

Red line by water.

The second proposal was to suggest the only Yehs action is water borne with a flotilla of home made rafts. This proposal was rejected as being the main action, but there was some support for a smaller action involving rafts and boats etc.

I raised concerns regarding publicity issues and the necessity of encouraging people to go out on rafts with no experience on a hazardous stretch of water... approached me to provide more information or to the request: I am to hire a van to go to Castle Howard Thursday afternoon where we will sleep over on a barge. Plan to drop off a number of
water fell on the road. As the evening progressed about 30 to 40 people arrived on the site for about nine hours. A number of处于
was little or no knowledge of the task to be undertaken throughout the evening.
I attended a briefing. The plan discussed was to attempt to prevent a coal train on its way into Down Power Station from reaching the police. I was asked to stay as long as possible. There were three activists involved: A. A. River, a railway activist. I also saw who planned to rig ropes over the train to hang banners from. The plan included a full-scale attempt to stop the train. All were dressed in orange safety uniforms and using the Round Flag system to stop the train.

Findley 12th June 03 I arrived in the Hope Valley. I travelled to...
The Hope Valley and coordinated the setting up of the marquees. Sunday 1st June 2002. I coordinated the putting down of the marquees and returned them to the ATP in Nottinghamshire.

Monday 16th June 2002. I went to the Lincolnshire Bank on Mansfield Road to meet people who were attending the EP meeting arranged for 1100 @ the Internet Cafe, Cafe at 6th Mansfield Rd. Present at this meeting were: Angela, Deborah, Sally, (Darby), (Maj), (Red) and a girl from Glasgow. The meeting was very subdued. The recent farm action at Deref was discussed and people thought that it had set the bench mark for the future. On 11th July there will be a noise dance at the offices.

Of UK Coal - Darabt at 0830 it is planned to have a meeting at the Ukrainian Centre in Clapham down to discuss the coal industry. The Cargill Geothermal (CGG) were looking at a potential target as an ongoing project. The damage to the phosphate crop at Linfield was discussed but no one is very forthcoming with any information. The next meeting was arranged for 15th Oct in Manchester. 

UK Coal has posted details of UK Coal directors as a direct email address - deadend. Quick, not prominent jump 321.

This called me to arrange some material leaflets for the Sheffield meeting on June 7th at 16.00 on Tuesday 16.08. I arranged the printing of some mailers - newsletters for the evening meeting at 19.00.